

# JOTI JOURNAL

## SUBJECT- INDEX

### FEBRUARY - DECEMBER 2007

From the pen of the Editor	1
From the pen of the Editor	59
From the pen of the Editor	109
From the pen of the Editor	147
From the pen of the Editor	187
From the pen of the Editor	225

### PART-I (ARTICLES & MISC.)

1. Photographs	3
2. Message by Hon'ble the Chief Justice	7
3. Judicial Accountability	8
4. Law of Inheritance of Agricultural Lands	19
5. न्याय पथ	21
6. Offence under Section 138 N.I. Act and provisions of M.P. Money Lenders Act, 1934	23
7. Criminal Justice and Plea Bargaining	26
8. Academic Activities of J.O.T.R.I.- An annual report of the year 2006	34
9. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	39
10. Possibility of fixing compensation in a reference u/s 28-A of and Acquisition Act, 1894 at a rate higher than that fixed by the reference Court u/s 18 of the Act	40
11. Imposition of sentence contemplated u/s 125 (3) Cr.P.C. without issuing warrant for levying the amount due – Whether possible?	43
12. Scope and applicability of Section 12 (6) of the M.P. Accommodation Control Act, 1961	46
13. Convicting an accused charged u/s 353 IPC to one u/s 186 IPC	48
14. अपराधी परिवीक्षा अधिनियम, 1958 की धारा 3 एवं 4 के प्रावधानों की प्रयोज्यता हेतु उचित प्रक्रिया	51
15. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	56
16. Photographs	61
17. Appointment of Additional Judges in the High Court of Madhya Pradesh	65



18. Role of the victim in the Criminal Justice Process	67 & 117
19. Rule against going behind decree and transfer of decree	80
20. Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 – The Principle of Pay & Recover	83
21. Factories Act, 1948 – An overview and appraisal of issues involved	87
22. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	92
23. Procedure to be adopted when the accused appears to be lunatic or of unsound mind	93
24. Perjury – Procedure involved in action taken by a Court	97
25. Liability of a Company for commission of offence under Indian Penal Code	99
26. Ambit, scope and applicability of Section 197 Cr.P.C.	102
27. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	107
28. Photograph	111
29. Hon'ble Shri Justice Sugandhilar Jain demits office	112
30. Protection of Human Rights – Constitutional Thrust and Expanding Horizons of Jurisprudence of Human Rights by way of Judicial Pronouncements	113
31. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	124
32. वरिष्ठ न्यायालय द्वारा प्रतिभूति पर मुक्त व्यक्ति को उसी घटनाक्रम में प्रकट होने वाले अधिक गंभीर अपराध में पुलिस द्वारा पुनः गिरफ्तार किया जाना अथवा मजिस्ट्रेट द्वारा अभिरक्षा में लिया जाना कहाँ तक वैधनिष्ठ है?	125
33. Mode of applicability of Section 13 (6) of M.P. Accommodation Control Act, 1961 when tenant has failed to comply with Section 13 of the Act during pendency of appeal	126
34. Legal position regarding grant or refusal of the amendments which are related to withdrawal of admission, inconsistent pleadings and time barred pleadings	129
35. सेवा संबंधी मामलों के संबंध में अंतरिम एवं अंतिम आदेश प्रदान करने विषयक सिविल न्यायालय के क्षेत्राधिकार की प्रकृति एवं विस्तार	138
36. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	144
37. Photographs	151
38. The Message of Hon'ble the Chief Justice on the occasion of the launching of E-Courts in M.P. on 09.07.2007	153
39. Judicial Conduct: An inseparable part of ethics	155
40. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	160
41. न्यायालय द्वारा संज्ञान के लिये अभियोजन हेतु स्वीकृति पूर्ववर्ती शर्त होने पर स्वीकृति सिद्ध किये जाने की रीति	161
42. Whether a Court can take judicial notice of Notification issued by Government	164

43. The procedure which is required to be followed for detention of judgment-debtor in civil prison and for seeking police aid in execution of a decree	169
44. Whether a finding adverse to a party in a suit not having a right to challenge the same in appeal has the effect of res judicata?	178
45. दोषमुक्ति के विरुद्ध अपील में दण्ड अधिरोपित करने की अपीलीय न्यायालय की अधिकारिता का विस्तार	180
46. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	183
47. Photographs	189
48. Hon'ble Shri Justice Ramesh Surajmal Garg assumes charge	191
49. Hon'ble Shri Justice S.S. Jha demits office	192
50. मानवाधिकार संरक्षण एवं न्यायालयों की भूमिका	193
51. Child witness – Competency and administration of oath	198
52. Assessment of age – An overview	202
53. Law relating to Bank Guarantee and Injunction	209
54. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	211
55. Whether a woman, who has remarried after the death of her husband in a motor accident, can claim damages regarding death of deceased husband under Motor Vehicles Act?	212
56. विद्युत अधिनियम, 2003 के अन्तर्गत गठित अपराध का विचारण संक्षिप्त विचारण प्रक्रिया के अन्तर्गत करना वांछनीय न पाने पर विशेष न्यायालय द्वारा अपनाई जाने वाली प्रक्रिया	219
57. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	222
58. Photographs	227
59. साक्षियों की सुरक्षा में आने वाली बाधाएँ और समाधान	229
60. Questionnaire of Bi-monthly Training Programme	235
61. Determination of Juvenility under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000	236
62. Law relating to territorial jurisdiction of Court regarding bail application filed u/s 438 Cr.P.C.	241
63. Law relating to adverse comments against subordinate Judges and authorities in hearing or disposal of appeal or revision	246
64. Legal status of second marriage if a Hindu marries second time after getting an ex parte decree for divorce and the decree is set aside later on	254
65. विधिक समस्याएँ एवं समाधान	257

**PART-II**  
**(NOTES ON IMPORTANT JUDGMENTS)**

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>ACCOMMODATION CONTROL ACT, 1961 (M.P.)</b>		
Sections 6 & 12	- Compromise in eviction suit before Lok Adalat – Suit decreed on the condition that landlord will pay Rs. 1,88,000/- to defendant/tenant – Whether compromise violative of S.6 and contrary to public policy ? Held, Yes – Further held, such compromise not binding on the parties	101 124
Sections 12 & 23-J	- Jurisdiction of civil Court vis-à-vis Rent Controlling Authority in a case where one of the plaintiffs covered by S. 23-J and the other one dies during the pendency of the civil suit – Question of jurisdiction should be determined on the basis of facts on the date of institution of suit – Law explained	99 (ii) 121
Section 12	- A suit for redemption to get possession is maintainable if the tenant/mortgager surrenders the tenancy which depends upon the terms and conditions of the mortgage	417 522
Section 12 (1)(a)	- Whether expression “legally recoverable rent” includes “time barred rent”? Held, No – Law explained	54 63
Section 12 (1) (b)	- Sub-letting as a ground for eviction – Tenant entering partnership and carrying on business in the suit premises retaining legal possession of the same – Whether it amounts to sub-letting? Held, No	108 133
Section 12 (1) (b)	- Sub-letting, proof of – No direct evidence required to prove sub-letting – The same can be inferred from circumstances – Law explained	110 134
Sections 12 (1) (f) and 12(1) (h)	- Whether suit on both the grounds contemplated u/Ss 12 (1) (f) and 12 (1) (h) simultaneously maintainable? Held, Yes – Law explained	31 33
Section 12(1)(m)	- Eviction on the ground of alteration in accommodation – Nature and scope of S.12 (1)(m) – Construction of temporary nature does not amount to materially altering the accommodation – Hence, not covered by Section 12 (1) (m) – Law explained	175 227



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 12(3), 13(1) & 13(2)	- Default in payment of rent for many times – Tenant not entitled to protection u/s 12(3) of the Act 257 (i)	341
	- Provisions of S. 13(1) of the Act would not remain in operation before determination of provisional rent u/s 13(2) of the Act 257 (ii)	341
Section 23-A (b)	- Eviction suit by landlord of specified category on the ground provided u/s 23-A(b) – Expression 'for whose benefit accommodation is held' as used in S. 23-A(b), meaning of – Law explained 106	130
Section 23-E, 31 & 32	- Jurisdiction – Whether an order by RCA in awarding damages in execution proceedings regarding a decree of eviction passed under Chapter III-A of the Act is appealable ? Held, No – The only remedy can be by way of revision u/s 23-E Law explained 129	160

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- Cancellation of allotment of dealership – Income shown by applicant was not found true – Undertaking given by the applicant that if any information supplied by him is found untrue, corporation would have right to terminate dealership – Held, cancellation of dealership is valid – Importance of undertaking restated 194	253
---	-----

## ADVERSE POSSESSION

- Adverse possession against co-sharer – Unless ouster is proved, there cannot be adverse possession against co-sharer – Law explained 74	88
--	----

## ARBITRATION ACT, 1940

Section 2 (a)	- Arbitration agreement, essential ingredients of – Law explained Arbitration agreement is not required to be in any particular form – To interpret an agreement as an arbitration agreement one has to ascertain the intention of the parties and also treat the decision as final 321	419
Section 14	- Award filed by counsel of the Appellant as agent of Arbitrator – Whether it may amount to notice to the Appellant? Held, No – Presumption under O. 3 R. 5 CPC not attracted – Law explained 148	192
Section 30	- Non-speaking award – No reasons assigned by arbitrator – Objection of respondent not	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	referred by the arbitrator – Cannot be set aside on these grounds	178 232
Section 30	- Misconduct and jurisdictional error by Arbitrator – A deliberate departure from contract amounts to misconduct and is jurisdictional error	261 349
Section 34	- Difference between S.34 of Arbitration Act, 1940 & S.8 of 1996 Act – Under the 1940 Act, the suit was not barred – Court would not automatically refer the dispute to an Arbitration Tribunal – But under S.8 of the 1996 Act the Court is under obligation to refer the parties to arbitration	187 (i) 244
Section 37	- Application for setting aside award filed – Delay of 3320 days – No explanation given – Condoning the inordinate unexplained delay without assigning any satisfactory, reasonable, sufficient and proper reasons cannot be countenanced	169 222

## ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT, 1996

Section 7	- Arbitration agreement – Requirement of – Mere use of the word 'arbitration' or 'arbitrator' in clause not make it an arbitration agreement – If the clause uses the words 'shall be referred for arbitration if the parties so determine' is not arbitration agreement – It means parties will decide in future whether dispute should be referred to arbitrator or not – If the clause uses the word 'shall be referred to arbitration', it would be arbitration agreement – Further consent of the parties to refer disputes to arbitration is a necessity	258 343
Section 8	- Difference between S.34 of Arbitration Act, 1940 & S.8 of 1996 Act – Under the 1940 Act, the suit was not barred – Court would not automatically refer the dispute to an Arbitration Tribunal – But under S.8 of the 1996 Act the Court is under obligation to refer the parties to arbitration	187 (i) 244
Section 9	- Principles applicable for exercise of power u/s 9 of the Act – No special procedure is prescribed – General Rules that govern the Court while considering an application for interim injunction and Receiver should be applied to application u/s 9 of the Act	323 420



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 8 & 11	- Whether judicial authority should refer the parties to arbitration where the entire contract containing the arbitration agreement is null and void by reason of fraud? Held, No	259 (i) 346
Section 11	- Venue of arbitration – Mere fact that parties agreed that venue of arbitration shall be Hong Kong - It does not follow that law of Hong Kong will apply	324 421
Sections 11(6), 11(5) & 11(4)	- Limitation for filing application u/s 11(6) – The period of limitation is only provided u/s 11(4) and 11(5) – No period of limitation is provided u/s 11(6) of the Act – Hence, the appointing authority under the agreement does not automatically forfeit right to make appointment after 30 days from the receipt of request from the other party	325 422
Section 11 (6)	- Dispute between a registeed society constituted by the Government for constructing roads under PMGSY and the consultant appointed by it regarding execution of the contract – Whether the disputant society is covered by the definition of 'State' or 'Public undertaking' of the State Government and the dispute can be covered by disputes under 'works contract' u/s 2 (i) of the State Act ? Held, yes – Further held, S.11 (6) of the Act of 1996 not applicable in such a case	130 (ii) 162
Sections 16, 34 & 37	- Object of the Act – Expeditious resolution – Challenge to jurisdiction of Arbitral Tribunal – Should be raised right at the beginning not later than filing of defence – If plea of jurisdiction is not taken before arbitrator as provided u/s 16 of the Act – Such plea cannot be permitted to be raised in proceeding u/s 34 of the Act unless good reason is shown	260 348
Section 20	- Appointment of Arbitrator-Whether Court is bound by any clause in agreement limiting appointment to a person or class of persons? Held,yes – Law explained	64 75
Section 37(1) (b)	- Appeal against award on ground 'no arbitration clause' stipulated in the contract – No such objection was raised in W.S. before arbitrator – The said plea was not advanced before civil Court in arbitration case – No objection was raised before High Court during proceeding	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	u/s 11 – Not asking arbitrator to rule on its own jurisdiction – Both parties consented and accepted that there was an arbitration clause and proceeded on that basis – Held, such plea cannot be raised	195 254
Sections 37(2)(a), 16(2), 16 (3) & 34	- Appeal against the partial award of the Arbitral Tribunal u/s 37(2) is maintainable only when Tribunal ruled that it has no jurisdiction or Arbitral Tribunal exceeds its authority – Arbitral Tribunal rejected the counter-claim on the ground that it has been already settled by the parties - This is not equivalent to the question pertaining to the jurisdiction of Tribunal	196 (i) 254
	- Nature and scope of arbitration – Role of Arbitrator and Court – Law explained	322 419
<b>BANKER AND CUSTOMER</b>		
	- Hire Purchase – Recovery – The practice of hiring recovery agents, who are muscle men, is deprecated and needs to be discouraged – Bank should resort to procedure recognized by law to take possession of vehicles in cases where borrower has committed default instead of resorting to strong-arm tactics	172 225
<b>BANK GUARANTEE</b>		
	- Unconditional bank guarantee – Injunction against enforcement, grounds of – Principle restated	326 423
<b>BENAMI TRANSACTIONS (PROHIBITION) ACT, 1988</b>		
Section 3	- Whether transaction is Benami in nature – Determination of – Source of money is merely one of the relevant considerations but not determinative in character – Essence of Benami is the intention of the parties – Onus to prove – Lies on the parties who assert it	327 424
<b>CARRIERS ACT, 1865</b>		
Sections 6 & 9	- Merely printing of any condition on the consignment unilaterally is not sufficient to constitute a special contract between the parties	328 (i) 426
	- Negligence by carrier – Applicability of S.9 of the Act is not dependent upon mention of goods in the Schedule – Carrier is bound to take due care as it would have taken of his own goods –	





ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	to be defective, consequence will be return of plaint and not dismissal	314 (ii)	410
Section 11 & Order VII Rule 11	- Res judicata primarily applies between past and future litigation – Principle of res judicata applies also between two stages in the same litigation	315 (iii)	411
Sections 38, 39, 42 & 136	- Territorial jurisdiction of executing Court – Normally the Court that passed the decree is the executing Court – Exception – Other Courts can exercise jurisdiction only when property is situated in their jurisdiction – Court passing the decree may transfer the decree for execution to the other Court where the property is situated – Court passing the decree may also issue order of percept u/s 46 of the Act to the other to attach the property which is situated in their jurisdiction. But the Court which passed the decree has jurisdiction to pass restraint orders in respect of person or property situated outside the jurisdiction to deliver possession to judgment debtor	197	258
Section 47	- Joint decree, execution of – Dispute between two plaintiffs – Co-decree holders not covered by S.47 and can avail separate remedy under the law	144	188
Section 47	- Power of executing Court – Under the decree, plaintiff is entitled to get regularization and receive salary – Decree did not specify salary of plaintiff – The executing Court has no jurisdiction to go beyond the decree and calculate the salary as per Government Scale – Decree does not provide so trial Court cannot travel beyond its bounds – Unless provisions of S.47 of the Act is not invoked	262	349
Section 80	- Suit against Government may be instituted without notice to the Government with the leave of the Court – If Court comes to the conclusion that no urgency is involved, Court must return the plaint for compliance of the mandatory notice provided u/s 80 (1) – No interim relief can be granted at that stage without hearing of the other party – No procedure is contemplated as to how to grant the leave – However, order granting leave must indicate reasons and		



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 80 (2)	<p>application of mind – Superior Court may also grant such leave in revision</p> <p>- Suit against Government without complying with S.80 (1) – Leave of the Court, grant of – Condition precedent for such suit – Court can grant relief against Government only after giving reasonable opportunity of showing cause – Government not raising objection in written statement regarding non-issue of notice u/s 80 – Objection deemed to have been waived</p>	<p>330 430</p> <p>70 85</p>
Section 95	<p>- Injunction or stay obtained on mala fide grounds – Remedy available to the defendant – Defendant may file an application for the same suit or separate suit or a regular suit separately – If application filed in the same suit, regular suit stands barred – Law explained</p>	<p>53 62</p>
Sections 96 and 100	<p>- Whether appeal maintainable against a mere adverse finding recorded against the party though no decree passed against him? Held, unless finding amounts to res judicata, appeal not maintainable – Law explained</p>	<p>137 180</p>
Section 115	<p>- Appeal or revision against award of less than Rs. 10,000/- – The remedy of appeal is not available – Revision u/s 115 of CPC is maintainable to assail an award passed by M.A.C.T. – Constitutional remedy under Article 226/227 is also not available</p>	<p>389 491</p>
Section 151	<p>- 'Fraud', meaning of – Decree obtained by fraud is nullity and can be challenged in any Court whenever in any proceeding decree is sought to be enforced – It has no effect of res judicata – Court can recall such judgment or order by using its inherent power u/s 151 of the Act and it can be challenged in any Court, at any time, in appeal, revision, writ or even in collateral proceedings. It is an exception to Article 141 of the Constitution and doctrine of merger – Law explained</p>	<p>198 260</p>
Section 151 Order XXIII Rule 3	<p>- Whether a compromise decree can be challenged by way of regular suit? Held, No – Further held, such a suit can be treated as an application u/s 151 C.P.C to determine whether compromise was unlawful – Law explained</p>	<p>116 142</p>

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 151 and Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2	- Whether temporary injunction can be issued against the person not a party to the suit? Held, Yes – It can be issued against a person present before the Court and in the opinion of the Court was an interference and obstruction in the exercise of lawful rights of the plaintiff – Law explained.	35 (i) 39
	- Whether Court can take cognizance of breach of temporary injunction under O.39 R.2 (a) when the temporary injunction was granted u/s 151 CPC? Held, Yes	35 (ii) 39
Order I Rule 10	- Doctrine of lis pendens – Impleadment of party – Property alienated by defendant to transferee during the pendency of suit for specific performance of agreement without leave of Court – Transferee cannot claim impleadment in view of doctrine of lis pendens	263 350
Order I Rule 10	- Impleadment of party – Partition suit – Bonafide purchasers of suit property, necessary and proper parties	331 431
Order I Rule 13, Order II Rule 7	- Objections as to non-joinder/misjoinder of necessary parties and cause of action – Are to be taken at the earliest possible opportunity – Otherwise shall be deemed to have been waived	199 264
Order II Rule 2, Order VII Rule 11 & Order VI Rule 16	- Rejection of plaint, scope of – Particular portion of plaint cannot be rejected under O.7 R. 11– If whole suit is barred by law only then O.7 R.11 is applicable – Portion of plaint may be rejected if it comes within its purview – O.2 Rule 1 is mandatory in nature and enjoins the plaintiff to put the whole of the claim – O.2 Rule 2 provides its effect	332 431
Order III Rule 1	- Concession made by Advocate on behalf of the party, bindingness of – Such concession is binding unless it amounts to wrong concession on legal question – Law explained	56 66
Order III Rules 1 and 2	- Power of attorney holder to act on behalf of the Principal, extent of – Power does not extend to depose regarding acts done by the Principal – Law explained	29 30
Order III Rule 1, Order 9 Rule 12 & Order 32 Rule 3	- Power of Court to direct a party (plaintiff or defendant) to appear in person Divorce petition – Issuance of interim order	



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Order III Rule 5	of non-bailable warrant for non-compliance with Court order to appear in person – Legality – Held, it is within the power of Court Award filed by counsel of the Appellant as agent of Arbitrator – Whether it may amount to notice to the appellant? Held, No – Presumption under O.3 R. 5 CPC not attracted – Law explained	167 219
Order VI Rule 17	Amendment of plaint regarding time barred claim – Normally Court as a rule declined to allow such amendment – But it does not effect the power of the Court – Bonafides on the part of plaintiff and reasonable explanation of delay are to be shown – Amendment may be allowed	148 192
Order VI Rule 17	Amendments of plaint and written statement – Different principle is applicable – Addition of a new ground of defence or substituting of altering a defence or taking inconsistent pleas in written statement is permissible – But altering or substituting a new cause of action in the plaint may be objectionable – Withdrawal of admission in written statement, scope of – A party cannot withdraw admission by seeking amendment – However, the admission can be explained or add rider and or proviso to the admission keeping the admission intact – Law explained	264 351
Order VI Rule 17	Amendment in plaint – Doctrine of relation back, when applicable – Law explained	265 352
Order VI Rule 17	Amendment of pleadings – Scope and applicability of O.VI R.17 as amended by Amending Act of 2002 – Held, provisions not applicable to cases filed before commencement of Amending Act of 2002	76 90
Order VI Rule 17	Application for amendment of written statement – Cannot be rejected at threshold on the ground of delay – Delay is no ground to refuse the prayer for amendment – Relevant consideration for amendment of pleadings – Merit of amendment of pleadings at this stage is not to be seen – Court has to consider whether amendment can throw light on the real controversy between the parties – Written statement may be amended to incorporate an additional ground of defence	84 100
		333 432

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Order VI Rule 17 Proviso	- Amendment of W.S. – Proviso creates a bar – Amendment filed after evidence of three plaintiff witnesses – No ground why amendment could not be brought before the commencement of trial – Granting amendment in such a situation – Serious prejudice may cause to the other parties	
	Trial commences – When issues are settled and case is set down for recording evidence	201 268
Order VII Rule 7 & Order XXII Rules 3, 4, 9 & 10	- Identification of immovable property – Suit for recovery of possession of immovable property – Property in suit must be identifiable	266 (i) 353
	- Abatement of appeal – Appeal abates automatically if legal representative of deceased plaintiffs or defendants are not brought on record within specified period – Prayer for bringing legal representative on record may be construed as a prayer for setting aside the abatement – Prayer by one plaintiff – May be construed prayer for setting aside the abatement of the suit in its entirety – Approach of the Court should be liberal and justice oriented while dealing with such applications	266 (ii) 353
Order VII Rule 10 and Sections 16(b) & (d), proviso & Section 20	- Return of plaint – What is to be considered – Meaningful reading of the plaint averments to find out the real intention – Suit for partition, declaration and injunction of immovable properties – Situated beyond the jurisdiction of the Court – Held, Ss. 16 (b) and 16 (d) will be applicable – Proviso of S. 16 attracts only where relief could be obtained by a personal obedience to the decree by the defendants or compensation for wrong to immovable property – S.20 is residuary in nature and it is attracted only where S.16 has no relevance	202 269
Order VII Rule 11	- Whether suit for mandatory injunction for directing the licensee to hand over vacant possession of the suit premises is maintainable? Held, Yes – Further held, S.7 (iv) (d) of the Court Fees Act applies to such a suit enabling plaintiff to put valuation as he wishes and to pay Court Fees on such valuation	340 437

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Order VII Rule 11 (d) - Rejection of plaint – Plaint can be rejected on the ground of limitation only where the suit appears from the statement in the plaint to be barred by any law – Word 'Law' within the meaning of O.7 Rule 11(d) includes the Law of Limitation also – Test to be applied – Averments made in the plaint if taken to be correct in their entirety, whether decree can be passed – Law explained	267 (i)	355
Order VII Rule 11(d) & Order I Rules 1 & 2 - Whether plaint may be rejected on the ground of defect of misjoinder of parties and causes of action? Held, No	268	358
Order VIII Rule 1 - Written statement – Delay in filing – May be accepted only in exceptional cases and for reasons to be recorded in writing – Acceptance of written statement without reasons recorded in writing – Order is bad in law – Law explained	269	358
Order VIII Rule 1 & Order V Rule 1 - Filing of written statement within the period as provided by proviso of O.8 R.1 is directory in nature – However, extension of time beyond 90 days is not automatic – Court must record satisfaction that there is sufficient reasons for justification for departing from time fixed by O.8 Rule 1 – Court must bear in mind that time fixed by O.8 Rule 1 is a rule and departure therefrom is an exception	334 (i)	433
Order VIII Rule 6A - Counter claim – Can be filed after filing of the W.S. – It cannot be dismissed on the ground of limitation – It should be decided with the suit	203	271
Order VIII Rule 6-A - Counter claim, filing of – Whether it can be filed after settlement of issues? Held, No	66	78
Order IX Rule 7 - On the date of hearing, neither defendant nor his advocate appeared before the Court – Court proceeded ex parte and examined the plaintiff witness present in the Court, heard argument and posted the case for judgment – Before judgment, defendant moved an application under O.9 Rule 7 for setting aside the ex parte order – Held, application is not maintainable – However, Appellate Court can set aside the ex parte decree if sufficient reason is shown for absence on the date of hearing	335	434
Order IX Rule 13 - Ex parte decree of divorce – Obtained without due service of summons – While setting aside ex parte decree the court held, in matrimonial		



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Order IX Rule 13	cases duty is cast on Courts to make an endeavour to reconcile and at the same time to ensure that service is duly effected - Expression 'Payment into Court' as used in O.IX R. 13, meaning of	270 359 68 (i) 82
Order XIV Rule 2	- Preliminary issues, determination of – Law explained Question of valuation and of limitation raised in written statement – Questions being mixed question of law and fact – Cannot be decided as preliminary issues	204 271
Order XVII, Rule 2 Explanation	- Before application of the explanation of O.XVII R.2 of the Code Court has to record its satisfaction	205 274
Order XX Rule 18 & Order XXI	- Whether property in partition suit can be put on auction sale without initiating final decree proceeding? Held, No – Property can be put to sale only in execution of a decree – Further held, order passed by a Court lacking inherent jurisdiction would be a nullity	271 360
Order XXI Rule 1 (3)(c)	- Rule of appropriation, true meaning of – Whether normal rule of appropriation contained in O.XXI R.1 CPC regarding execution of money decree and mortgage decrees excluded by Ss.28 and 34 of LA Act? Law explained	43 49
Order XXI Rule 2	- Word 'adjustment' is not synonymous to the word 'satisfaction' in terms of decree – Adjustment is method of settling decree which is not provided for in the decree itself	272 363
Order XXI Rule 43	- In execution of eviction and recovery of rent decree the belongings of tenant were attached and given to supardagidar on supurdaginama – With the passage of one and half years, supardagidar submitted before the executing Court that goods have been damaged – Held, faith of thousands of litigants cannot be allowed to be shattered by permitting supurdagidar to play tricky and foul game – Directions issued to executing Court to initiate proceedings of criminal breach of trust against supurdagidar and to proceed to recover differential amount between estimated cost and amount fetched by auction in case of finding any variation in items handed over to supurdagidar	273 365

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Order XXI Rule 58 - Appeal from an order dismissing objection under O.21 R.58 of CPC – Fixed Court Fee is payable under Article 17 (i) of Schedule II of the Court Fees Act	339	437
Order XXI Rules 65 & 92 - Public auction in pursuance of an order of the Court – Auction purchaser derives title on confirmation of sale – Sale certificate is issued evidencing such sale and title – No further deed is required for passing the title – Certificate granted by Civil or Revenue Officer – Does not fall under the category of 'non testamentary' documents so not required to be registered	418 (ii)	523
Order XXI Rules 84 & 85 - Meaning of the term 'immediately' – Not instantaneously but within reasonable time – Auction sale – Confirmed within a period of 30 days from date of acceptance of bid – Cannot be sole ground to set aside sale after 8 years	206	274
Order XXI Rule 97 - Auction held in execution of money decree against dissolved firm – Obstructionist purchaser from heirs of deceased partner and in possession raised obstruction – Auction purchaser filed an application under R.97 for removal of obstruction – Right of auction purchaser against dissolved firm cannot be decided in execution proceedings – Partners in firm, who were co-owners of definite share have merely applied their property to run their business in partnership – Their rights in property would revive on dissolution – Held, application was rightly rejected	274	367
Order XXII Rule 4 - Legal representative of deceased – Is entitled to file written statement to make defence appropriate to his character as L.R	207	275
Order XXII Rule 5 - Legal representative brought on record – He steps into the shoes of original party – He cannot litigate his personal right as legal representative	208	276
Order XXIII Rule 1 - Withdrawal of suit and bar against filing another suit on same cause of action – Claim petition filed against one set of parties withdrawn, later on claim filed against another set of parties – Whether claim barred? Held, No – Law explained	36	41
Order XXIII Rule 1 (4) & Section 2 (2) - Withdrawal of suit – Effect – Order allowing withdrawal of suit without liberty to file fresh suit – Does not constitute decree – Defendant		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	can raise such defence in subsequent round of litigation	275 367
Order XXVI Rule 9	- Commissioner, appointment of for spot inspection – Though Commissioner cannot be deputed to collect evidence but it can be for elucidating any matter in dispute by local investigation	19 21
Order XXXVII Rules 1 & 3(5)	- Principles to be followed while granting leave to defend – Court may grant leave to defend even though defence appears to be moonshine – Court may impose condition that disputed amount should be paid into Court or otherwise secured	209 277
Order XXXIX Rule 1	- Passing off – Requirements – Plaintiff has to establish prior user of trade mark – Registration of similar trade mark in point of time is irrelevant consideration – Modern tort of passing off action – Similarities rather than dissimilarities have to be taken note of in the light of "phonetic similarities" – Law explained	382 483
Order XXXIX Rule 1 (2) & Section 151	- Doctrine of comity and amity – A Court should not pass an order which would be in conflict with the order passed by a competent court of law	259 (ii) 346
Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2	- Temporary injunction, grant of – Conditions necessary – Temporary injunction in the form of prima facie case, balance of convenience and irreparable injury – Prima facie case, meaning of – Law explained	41 46
Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2	- Whether Injunction order passed by Court for limited period will continue till disposal of the case? Held, No	185 241
Order XLI Rule 3A	- Appeal – Whether a time barred appeal not accompanied with application of condonation of delay is incompetent? Held, No – Law explained	61 70
Order XLI Rule 14 (4)	- Power of Appellate Court to dispense with notice where respondents failed to appear before trial Court – Direction to dispense with notice should be made on sound basis and correct factual matrix – Law explained	102 125
Order XLI Rules. 22 and 33	- Eviction suit decreed on the ground u/s 12 (1) (a) MPACA, 1961 – Tenant preferring appeal – Whether landlord can support the decree	

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	of eviction on other grounds set forth in the plaint also? Held, Yes	90	108
Order XLI R 22, Order II Rule 2 & Order XXXIV Rule 14	- Filing of memorandum of cross-objection by respondent is not necessary for challenging a particular finding rendered against him while the decree itself is in his favour	200 (i)	265
	- Mode of proof for plea of bar under O.2 R.2 of CPC - Production of plaint in earlier suit is mandatory.	200 (ii)	265
	- Suit for recovery of money simplicitor loan transaction – Decree awarded - Subsequent suit for enforcement of equitable mortgage - Not barred under O.2 R.2 in view of O.34 R.14 of CPC – Law explained	265 (iii)	265
Order XLVII Rule 1 Explanation	- Review, scope of – Law explained Held, a decision or order erroneous in law or on merits cannot be corrected in exercise of powers of review under O.47 Rule 1 of the Code	276	368
Order XLVII Rule 1	- Filing of second claim petition after getting compensation in a motor accident based on subsequent events – Claimant may prefer a review petition before Tribunal under O.47 R.1 of the Code on the ground of 'any other sufficient cause' mentioned in that provision	141	186

## CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Article 12	- Expression 'State' as used in Art.12 of the Constitution of India, meaning of – Law explained M.P.State Co-operative Dairy Federation is 'State' within the meaning	210	278
Article .14	- Education – Practise of educational institutions – Admitting students without requisite recognition or affiliation – Deprecated – Law explained – Order not allowing students to appear in examination conducted by CBSE – Proper	154	201
Articles 32, 142 & 226	- Precedents – Prospective overruling – Power vested only in the Supreme Court and that too in the Constitutional matters – The High Courts without applying the doctrine of 'prospective overruling' may grant a limited relief in exercise of its equity jurisdiction	191	250
Articles 226, 14, 15(1) & 16(2)	- Transfer matter – Scope of judicial scrutiny – Transfer order, if issued in malafide exercise of power or is contrary to statutory guidelines		



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	or passed by incompetent authority or amounts to victimization and hostile discrimination and not in the interest of public service or administrative exigencies, is susceptible to judicial scrutiny	336	434
Article 227	- Power of superintendence, exercise of under Article 227 – Independence of judiciary part of the basic structure of the Constitution which includes independence of subordinate Courts – Independence of subordinate Judiciary should be protected effectively so as to ensure its independence	37	42
Article 234	- Subordinate Judiciary – Recruitment process – State Judicial Service Rules framed by Government – Applicability vis-à-vis Rules framed by State Public Service Commission - Where Judicial Service Rules contain a specific provision in regard to any aspect of examination, such provision will prevail – Rule framed by Public Service Commission to that effect, if inconsistent, will be inapplicable	189	247
Articles 299 & 226	- The law relating to award of contract by the State and Public Sector Corporation – Contractual matters – Judicial review – Governing principles – Summarised Contract awarded – Power of relaxation exercised by the employer – It is fair, reasonable and bonafide – The writ Court should refrain to interfere – Law explained	153	200
Article 300-A	- Right to property – Is not only a constitutional right but also a human right	337	435
Article 311	- Termination of services – Principles of natural justice, applicability of – Law explained	318	415
Articles 342 & 311	- Person secures appointment on the basis of false caste certificate – Cannot be allowed to retain benefit of the wrong committed by him – His services are liable to be terminated	277	368

## CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 1986

- Section 2 (g) - Deficiency in service – Claim against insurance company for damages of insured building on account of heavy rains and floods  
Exclusion clause not included 'subsidence' –  
No exemption on such ground  
Plea of defective structure i.e. column of

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	building not tenable as construction was certified first class – Insurance company could not escape its liability to compensate	150	196
Sections 2 (1) (d) & (o)	- Jurisdiction of Consumer Forum – Unless there is an express provision prohibiting the consumer forum to exercise its jurisdiction over the matter which falls within the jurisdiction of the Civil Court, the Forum has jurisdiction to entertain the matter which other Courts would also have jurisdiction to adjudicate – The Act being a beneficial legislation, should receive liberal construction	211 (i)	283
	Consumer Forum has jurisdiction to adjudicate a complaint regarding deficient and negligent medical service rendered by the E.S.I. doctor or dispensary to appellant's wife	211 (ii)	283
Section 2 (1) (o)	- Meaning of 'service' – Railways Hospital set up by Union of India for Railways employees as part of service conditions – Service rendered by such hospitals are not gratuitous service – Such service falls within the definition of 'service' u/s 2 (1) (o) of the Act – Forum has jurisdiction to entertain complaints regarding deficiencies of such Railways Hospitals – Law laid down in the case of State of Orissa v. Divisional Manager, LIC, (1996) 8 SCC 655 expressly overruled	212	284
	- Non-delivery of goods is deficiency of service – Non-payment of freight charges will not exonerate common carrier from liability for non-delivery	183	238

## CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971

Section 2 (c)	- Contemnor deliberately abused the Court and hurled sandals towards the Judge sitting on dais – Held, the act of contemnor amounts to serious kind of criminal contempt of Court – In such cases severe kind of punishment should be awarded so that it may be a lesson to the others and such kind of incident may not be repeated in future	278	369
---------------	--	-----	-----

## CONTRACT ACT, 1872

Section 8	- Offer, acceptance of – Acceptance by conduct – Offer encashing the cheque sent by the offeror – Whether it amounts to acceptance by conduct? Held, Yes	8	8
-----------	--	---	---

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 55 & 72	- Damages for breach of contract – If time is essence of contract – Failure to perform a mutual obligation by employer – Gives right to other party to avoid the contract and asked for damages – Instead of avoiding the contract – Contractor accepted belated performance of reciprocal obligation on the part of the employer – Contractor cannot claim damages – Unless he gives notice to the employer of his intention to do so	279 371
Section 70	- S. 70 of the Act, requirements and applicability of – Law explained	338 436
Sections 74 & 55	- Court had accepted the highest bid and only after confirmation of the same by the Supreme Court, appellant was to pay the balance amount of consideration – In this situation, time cannot be said to be essence of the contract – Hence, direction of the Court for forfeiture of the earnest money was not justified – Forfeiture of earnest money can be permissible only after concluded contract comes into existence	171 224
Section 171	- Order granting succession certificate in ignorance of Banker's lien – Held, improper as S.171 of the Act of 1872 recognizes Bankers such right	254 337

## CORRUPTION

- Corruption in public life – Corruption like cancerous lymph nodes is corroding the vital veins of body politics, social fabric of efficiency in public service	48	57
--	----	----

## COURT FEES ACT, 1870

Section 7 (iv) (d)	- Whether suit for mandatory injunction for directing the licensee to hand over vacant possession of the suit premises is maintainable? Held, Yes – Further held, S.7 (iv) (d) of the Court Fees Act applies to such a suit enabling plaintiff to put valuation as he wishes and to pay Court Fees on such valuation	340	437
Article 17 (i) of Schedule II	- Appeal from an order dismissing objection under O.21 R.58 of CPC – Fixed Court Fee is payable under Article 17 (i) of Schedule II of the Court Fees Act	339	437

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1973</b>		
Section 31	- Sentence in case of conviction for several offences at one trial – Though sentence may order to run consequently, such period should not be more than 14 years – Law explained	128
Sections 53, 311 & 362		159
	- Though S. 53 of the Code empowers medical practitioner to examine accused at the request of police officer, Court can also exercise such power in suitable case and direct accused to give blood sample even if accused is on bail	341 (i)
	- Court has ample power to recall a witness who had been given up or in respect of whom earlier applications were rejected – U/s 362 of the Code, question of review does not arise – It operates only in case of judgment or final order – Order for recalling witness is neither judgment nor final order – However, Court should pass such order only for just decision of the case – It cannot be used to fill lacuna or merely for asking	341 (ii)
Section 105-A to 105-L, Chapter VII-A & Section 166-A	- Chapter VII-A, applicability of – By virtue of Ss.166-A and 166-B Chapter VII-A is applicable only to the territories which are foreign	176
Section 125	- Maintenance, grant of – Quantum of maintenance, factors to be considered – Amount whether payable from the date of order or from the date of application ? Law explained	7
Section 125	- Maintenance order – Whether an applicant u/s 125 can be dismissed on account of delay? Held, No – Controversy arising out of two conflicting views on the point resolved – Law explained	125
Section 125	- Wife not complying with the decree of restitution of conjugal rights whether entitled to maintenance u/s 125? Held, No.	62
Section 125	- Application for maintenance by Muslim wife – Amendment sought in application to incorporate facts about her previous marriage and to delete some sections of Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act – Held, maintainable	343
		440



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 125	- Muslim women may file application for maintenance u/s 125 of Cr.P.C – The Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act applies only to divorced women and not to married women – Talaq, mode and proof of – Mere plea taken in written statement that husband uttered talaq thrice itself is not sufficient – Evidence must be adduced to prove that pronouncement of talaq claimed at earlier date – If Court notices that there was divorce, application u/s 125 of Cr.P.C. may be treated as an application under the Act of 1986 – Liability of Muslim husband to pay compensation to his divorced wife is not confined to the iddat period but for future of the divorced wife	342	439
Section 127 (3)	- Order of awarding maintenance passed u/s 125 – Application u/s 127(3) is filed imputing order on ground of second marriage – Staying order of maintenance not proper	213	285
Sections 154, 156 & 157	- Registration of FIR on the basis of anonymous complaint or vague information – Mode of dealing with the complaint/information – Police Officer can make preliminary enquiry before registering an offence – Law explained	81	95
Sections 154, 156, 190 & 200	- Information to police regarding commission of cognizable offence – Police is bound to register FIR – If police does not register, remedy is only to file complaint before Magistrate – Writ of Mandamus to direct police authorities to register FIR is not maintainable	344	441
Section 156 (3)	- Whether Special Judge exercising jurisdiction under Prevention of Corruption Act can pass order u/s 156(3)? Held, Yes – Law explained	111	135
Section 156 (3)	- Whether Magistrate can direct investigation under S.156 (3) in a case triable by the Special Court or Court of Sessions? Held, Yes	142	187
Section 156 (3)	- Whether in a private complaint filed for the offence exclusively triable by the Court of Session, a Magistrate can direct the police to investigate into the matter u/s 156 (3) of the Code? Held, Yes – Law explained	345	441
Sections 156 (3) & 397	- Whether a Magistrate has jurisdiction u/s 156 (3) of the Act to direct CBI to register the case and investigate it? Held, No – Whether revision		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 161 & 172	<p>against order of Magistrate directly to High Court is maintainable? Held, Yes</p> <p>- Interpretation or gist of statement of witness recorded by I.O. in case diary – Is not a statement recorded u/s 161 – Accused is not entitled to get copy of the same recorded by I.O. in case diary</p>	<p>346 444</p> <p>214 287</p>
Section 164	<p>- Confession, recording of by Magistrate – Provisions Of s.164 should be complied in letter and spirit – Whether oath can be administered to the accused while recording confession? Held, No</p>	<p>65 77</p>
Section 167 (2)	<p>- The period of police custody for investigation in particular case cannot be treated as police or judicial custody/detention in another case if another case relates to a different occurrence</p>	<p>280 372</p>
Section 173 (2)	<p>- Role of Police Officer in-charge of the police station in investigation and submission of report u/s 173 (2) – Held, court has no jurisdiction to direct submission of report of a particular nature – Law explained</p>	<p>98 118</p>
Section 173 (8)	<p>- Further investigation – Once final report was submitted, whether permission for further investigation can be granted? Held, Yes</p>	<p>347 444</p>
Section 174	<p>- Appreciation of evidence – Inquest report – Details to be mentioned in the inquest report – Omission to mention names of the accused in the inquest report, effect of – Held, inquest report is confined to ascertainment of the apparent cause of death – Details of overt acts etc. not necessary to be recorded – Law explained</p>	<p>123 (i) 152</p>
Sections 178, 181(4) & 156(3)	<p>- Territorial jurisdiction of a Magistrate – Determination of – Offences of cheating and criminal misappropriation – A person accused of cheating could be prosecuted at the place where fraudulent representation was made – For criminal misappropriation – Within whose jurisdiction the property had been entrusted or was to be accounted for – Commission of even a part of offence within the jurisdiction of the Court is sufficient for exercising jurisdiction to direct concerned police officer to investigate the matter as per law</p>	<p>281 372</p>

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 190	- Cognizance by Magistrate – Whether Magistrate can take cognizance u/s 190 regarding an offence triable by Sessions Court ? Held, Yes	103	127
Section 190	- Cognizance, meaning of – Law explained	348 (i)	445
	- At the time of taking cognizance about an offence, if a Magistrate comes to the conclusion that a particular person against whom chargesheet (challan) has not been filed, also appears to have committed the offence, can issue process against such person even in the case which is exclusively triable by the Court of Sessions	348 (ii)	445
Sections 190 & 228	- Police filed chargesheet u/s 498-A, 323, 504 IPC – Complainant filed application before Magistrate to the effect that on the basis of evidence collected by police cognizance ought to be taken u/s 406 and 307 IPC – Magistrate ordered that prayer could be considered after evidence – Whether the order of Magistracy is correct? Held, No – Proper stage is stage of framing of charge	215	289
Sections 193 & 465	- Case under Special Act filed before Sessions Court which took cognizance without the case being committed. Sessions Court did not frame Charge under Special Act not proceeded – On conclusion of trial accused was convicted u/Ss 148, 302/149 – Whether non-committal of the case vitiates proceedings? Held, No Conflicting judgments of the Apex Court as to the legality of trial without committal – Both the judgments passed by Bench of equal strength – Earlier judgment applicable as it is not discussed in the later judgment – Conviction upheld	230	307
Sections 195 & 340	- Whether Court having jurisdiction to decide reference u/s 18 of the Land Acquisition Act is subordinate to the Court of District Judge for the purpose of S.340? Held, No – Law explained	132	167
Section 197	- Sanction – Chargesheet filed against the accused and his family members for offence u/s 13 – S.13 (1) containing five clauses for different types of offences – Whether at the stage of filing of the chargesheet it is necessary to show which particular clause covers the alleged offence – Again whether sanctioning authority is required to separately specify each offence in the sanction order? Held, No	93 (iii)	111

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 200 & 202 - Cognizance of offence and issuance of process against accused – While considering the question whether prima facie case has been made out or not and whether accused should be summoned or not, it is not necessary to consider the defence of accused	349	446
Sections 200, 202, 203 & 204 - Recall of issuing summons order – Once Magistrate has registered the complaint, stage under S.203 is over – Magistrate cannot recall the summoning order – Law re-stated	350	447
Section 220 (4) - Consolidation of two challans/chargesheet – Two different chargesheets were filed against the same accused one u/ss 302, 420 and 465 IPC and another u/s 25 Arms Act – Chargesheet u/s 25 Arms Act was an offshoot of the main case – Both chargesheets were consolidated and were tried together – Framing charge and trying both the challans together – Not illegal in view of S. 220 (4) Cr.P.C	216	289
Section 222 - Accused prosecuted for committing attempt to rape and charged accordingly – Charge not found proved – Allegation that he took away prosecutrix, a girl of 12/14 years to his gumti found proved – Whether he can be convicted u/Ss. 366 & 354 IPC without there being an independent charge with the help of S.222 Cr.P.C.? Law explained	45	54
Sections 227, 228 239, 240 & 245 - Framing of charge – Whether order framing charge should contain reasons? Held, No – Further held, it is only in case of discharge that a reasoned order is necessary	94	113
Section 228 - Framing of charge – Relevant consideration – Material showing on record that the accused might have committed offence – Material brought on record has to be accepted true at the stage of framing of charge – Probative value of the record has not to be seen at that stage where fraud alleged to have been committed by Government servant by processing and verifying fake bills – All officers who dealt with the relevant files at one point of time or other could not be considered to have taken part in conspiracy – In such case individual acts of criminal misconduct of an accused should be seen	282	374



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 243 (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rejection of prayer of accused to sign the cheque for opinion of handwriting expert to ascertain the genuineness of signature on it</li> <li>- Improper – Court should have granted such a request unless it considers that the object of the accused was vexation or delaying the criminal proceedings – Denial of the prayer would leave to unfair trial</li> </ul>	168 220
Section 300 (1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bar on trial of acquitted/convicted accused again for the same offence – Ingredients of</li> <li>- Where the Court lacks jurisdiction to take cognizance for want of sanction, Court cannot pass order of acquittal – It can only discharge the accused – If Court while passing the order uses the phrase 'acquittal', it should be treated as order of discharge</li> </ul>	351 448
Section 310	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Spot inspection by Presiding Officer of the Court dealing with the case – Normally Court should refrain from making such inspection</li> <li>- Spot inspection may be only for appreciating the evidence adduced in the trial and as not evidence in the case – Mode and manner of conducting spot inspection explained</li> </ul>	126 156
Section 311	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recalling of witness – Determinative factor – Just decision of the case – Court is not empowered to ask any of parties to examine any particular witness – But if Court comes to the conclusion that evidence of any person is essential to the just decision of the case – Second part of the Section imposes obligation upon Court to examine the person – Second part of the section is mandatory in nature – Witness already examined – Recall application is based on ground that parties compromised the offence outside the Court – Offence is not compoundable</li> <li>- Held, application was rightly rejected</li> </ul>	283 374
Section 311	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Examination of accused – Object – Questions must be framed in such a manner that even an illiterate person will be able to appreciate and understand</li> </ul>	369 (ii) 461
Section 313	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Examination of accused – Statement given by accused u/s 313 is not evidence – Conviction cannot be sustained solely upon the statement of accused – But its effect can be considered in light of the other evidence brought on record</li> </ul>	226 (ii) 303

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 319	- Whether cognizance u/s 319 of the Act may be taken on the basis of uncrossed testimony of a witness? Held, Yes – Further held, power u/s 319 of the Act are to be used sparingly when the Court is hopeful that there is a reasonable prospect of the case, as against the newly brought accused, ending in conviction	284 376
Section 319	- S.319, applicability of – Application filed u/s 319 of the Act, consideration of – Should be decided on worth of witnesses – Report of investigation officer is irrelevant	217 290
Section 319	- Cognizance u/s 319 of the Code – Evidence of witness examined during trial is material and not that collected during investigation – The new accused who is to be summoned need not be given opportunity to be heard or to cross examine prosecution witnesses prior to summoning	353 449
Section 319	- Summoning accused by Court who is not chargesheeted, criteria of – It lies on discretion of Court – Exercise of discretion – Court must be satisfied that there exists possibility of likelihood of conviction – Such satisfaction can be arrived at upon completion of cross examination of the witness – In proper case Court may decide such application after consideration of other evidence also	352 449
Section 321	- Withdrawal from prosecution – Discretion to withdraw is that of Public Prosecutor and none else – Law explained	26 27
Section 322	- Counter case – Could not be tried by the same Court one after another – Accused failed to draw attention of the Court about pendency of the counter case – No application was moved for holding trial by the same court – Held, trial is not vitiated – Accused cannot claim prejudice	218 290
Section 340	- Prosecution for perjury – Forming of the opinion that 'it is expedient in the interest of justice' is a sine qua non for launching prosecution – There must be a case of deliberate falsehood	354 450
Sections 357, 357 (1), (2) & (3) & 374	- Appeal against conviction – Statutory right can never be subjected to any condition When appellant accused is directed to pay compensation – Appellate Court can put terms	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	- But direction to pay amount of compensation; must be for a reasonable sum.	285 (i) 378
	- Fine and compensation, distinction between	285 (ii) 378
Sections 360 & 361	- Applicability of S.3/4 of the Probation of Offenders Act and S.360/361 of the Code – Law explained	78 (ii) 92
Section 362	- Whether alteration of order is permissible by a Court of co-ordinate jurisdiction? Held, No – Once a competent Court of ASJ records a finding in revision that prima facie case is made out u/s 307 IPC, it becomes final – It cannot be reviewed or undone by another Court of co-ordinate jurisdiction	355 451
Section 378	- What are the powers of an appellate Court against an order of acquittal? Held, the appellate Court has full power to review, re-appreciate and reconsider the evidence etc.	219 291
Sections 384 & 386	- Appeal against conviction – Appellate Court directed the appellant to deposit the amount of fine – Appellant failed to deposit the amount of fine – Appellate Court dismiss the appeal – Held, appeal cannot be dismissed on the premise that appellant failed to deposit the fine amount – Appeal must be decided on merits – Trial Court may take all coercive steps for realization of fine	286 379
Sections 386 & 378	- Scope of Appellate Court to interfere with a judgment of acquittal – Manifest illegality and perversity is prime consideration – Merely other view also possible on an appraisal of the evidence, Appellate Court cannot legally interfere with an order of acquittal – Even if it is of the opinion that the view taken by the Court below on its consideration of the evidence is erroneous	224 (iv) 297
Sections 387 & 378	- Reversal of order of acquittal in appeal by High Court – No counsel appeared on behalf of accused – High Court ought to have provided counsel by way of legal aid – Order of High Court reversing order of acquittal set aside – Matter remitted back to dispose of appeal after providing opportunity of hearing to accused	223 296
Section 389	- Conviction and sentence – Conviction, stay of – Held, order granting stay of conviction not a rule but an exception – Appellant seeking	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 389, 437 & 439	stay must show consequences of non-stay of conviction – Law explained - Successive prayer for bail, meaning of – Application filed earlier is not determinative factor – If second application is considered, then first application will be treated as successive prayer for bail and should be placed before the Judge who considered the first application, for consideration	82 97
Section 389 (1)	- Appellate Court – Suspension or grant of stay of order of conviction – But accused should specifically draw the attention of the Appellate Court to the consequences that may arise otherwise – Moreover, grant of stay of conviction can be resorted to in rare cases depending upon the facts of the case – Law explained	356 451
Section 389 (1) & (3)	- Suspension of sentence – Power of Courts – Trial Court empowered to suspend sentence of jail only – Appellate Court can suspend sentence of jail as well as fine	170 222
Section 394	- Abatement of appeal – If it is filed on the ground of inadequacy of sentence or against acquittal – Shall finally abate on the death of appellant – Appeal against conviction also abate if fine is not a part of sentence – If fine is part of sentence, Court is duty bound to decide appeal even no legal heirs obtains leave of Court to continue appeal	220 293
Sections 397, 399 & 401	- Criminal revision cannot be dismissed for default or for want of prosecution – Rule laid down for criminal appeal also applies to criminal revision	221 294
Sections 399, 401 & 203	- Revisional power – Dismissal of complaint by trial Court u/s 203 of the Code – Order set aside by revisional Court with direction to register case u/s 420 IPC against accused – Not without jurisdiction	357 452
Section 407	- Transfer of criminal proceedings to different Court – Factors to be taken into consideration – Law explained	358 453
Sections 427 & 482	- When neither trial court nor appellate court had exercised jurisdiction u/s 427 while passing judgments of separate conviction and separate sentences in two distinct and different offences – Application thereafter to High Court u/ss 482	177 230

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 437	and 427 praying that sentences imposed in both cases be directed to run concurrently – Not maintainable	158 206
Sections 437 & 439	Bail – Cases involving threat to security of State – Bail should generally be refused – While granting bail Court should avoid detailed examination of evidence – Order disposing bail has to be reasoned – But detailed reasons touching merits of case should not be given	359 453
Section 438	Bail, grant of – Primary consideration – Gravity and nature of the offence and its impact on the society – Credibility and evidential value of the witnesses at this stage is not required to be considered – Court can only go into question of prima facie case and cannot scrutinize evidence at this stage	360 454
Section 438	Open ended order of anticipatory bail, duration of – Such order in absence of any conditions restricting the liberty of the accused remains in force till the trial is concluded – Law explained	18 20
Section 438	Anticipatory bail – Extraordinary power – Should be exercised sparingly – Grounds of – The legality of proposed arrest cannot be gone into – An interim order restraining arrest cannot be passed	361 454
Section 438 (1)	Maintainability of application for anticipatory bail – Registration of crime is not a condition precedent	287 (i) 380
Section 439	Defence of accused as to innocence – Cannot be a ground for rejection of bail application	287 (ii) 380
Section 439	Bail, grant of – Whether bail can be granted solely on the ground of long incarceration in jail and inability of accused to conduct the defence? Held, No	95 115
Section 439	Grant of bail – Changed circumstances – Grant of bail to co-accused by High Court amounts to change in the circumstances – It entitles identically placed another co-accused for grant of bail by Lower Court on the principle of parity	205 (i) 295
	Judicial discipline – Order passed against the principles settled by higher Courts amounts to contempt of Court	205 (ii) 295



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 451 & 457	- Supardgi of vehicle – Whether a person can have supardgi of the vehicle purchased by him though same not registered by RTO in his name? Held, Yes – Law explained	122	151
Section 464	- Accused No. 1 charged u/ss 302, 304-B and 498-A of IPC – Accused No. 2 charged for having caused disappearance of evidence of above crime u/s 201 IPC – Trial Court convicted both the accused u/ss 304 and 498-A r/w/s 34 – High Court set aside the conviction in appeal but convicted accused No.1 and 2 u/ss 302 and 201 r/w/s 34 IPC – Apex Court held offence u/s 201 of IPC cannot be considered minor offence u/ss 304-B and 498-A as these offences are distinct and belong to different categories – Accused charged only u/s 201 IPC – Conviction u/s 302 is not permissible – If accused is charged u/s 302, he may be convicted u/s 201 IPC	362	455
Section 464	- Non-framing of charge, effect of – Law explained Held, conviction cannot be set aside on the sole ground of non-framing of charge – Accused must establish failure of justice occasioned to him due to non-framing of a particular charge	363	456
Section 468	- The period of limitation – Relevant date for computation is filing of complaint/chargesheet within time and not the date of taking cognizance – Law explained	364	457
Section 482	- Matter is called for hearing – Advocate is absent – High Court may adjourn or dismiss for default – High Court may dismiss petition in limine without recording any reasons – Advocate may apply for restoration either oral or by written application – While restoring the petition, Court may insist the advocate to argue the matter on merits – Court is not bound to adjourn the hearing in future	288	381
<b>CRIMINAL TRIAL</b>			
	- Appreciation of evidence – Corroboration, insistence on – In offence relating to sexual assault including one u/s 377 IPC rule regarding non requirement of corroboration applicable – Law explained	80	94

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
- Appreciation of Evidence – FIR – Delay in lodging FIR, effect of – Though mere delay not necessarily fatal, however, delay must be explained – Factors leading to delay, appreciation of – Appreciation of evidence regarding delay – Law explained	127	158
- Appreciation of evidence – Inquest report – Details to be mentioned in the inquest report – Omission to mention names of the accused in the inquest report, effect of – Held, inquest report is confined to ascertainment of the apparent cause of death – Details of overt acts, etc. not necessary to be recorded – Law explained	123 (ii)	152
- Appreciation of evidence – Interested witnesses – Relationship not a factor to effect credibility of the witness – Court should be careful where foundation of a false implication has been made – Law explained	83	99
- Appreciation of evidence – Non-explanation of injuries found on the person of the accused, effect of – Such non-explanation will have no effect where injuries are minor, superficial or where evidence is clear, cogent, consistent and creditworthy	138	183
- Appreciation of evidence – Principle of falsus in uno falsus in omnibus, applicability of – Whether a sound rule of evidence applicable in India? Held, No – Law explained	15	17
- Appreciation of evidence – Death of deceased due to burn injuries – At the time of incident she was 25 years old having a daughter of 2½ years of age and also pregnant – Immediately after the incident she raised hue and cry – She was living on first floor – Incident took place on the ground floor in the premises of accused i.e mother-in-law of deceased – Plea of suicide – Held, rightly rejected	365	457
- Circumstantial evidence – Conviction on the basis of such evidence – Test to be satisfied for	224 (i)	297
- Explanation of the injuries on the body of the accused – Where injuries are minor/superficial/ simple or where evidence is clear and cogent, explanation not necessary	4	3

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Search and seizure – Illegality in – Effect of               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Is not always fatal to prosecution case – It would have a bearing on the appreciation of evidence of the witness and other materials depending on the facts of each case – Non-examination of independent witnesses to search, effect of – Held, not always fatal to prosecution – In such cases evidence of witnesses should be scrutinized carefully after applying the rule of caution</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	400 (ii)	506
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sentencing – Minimum sentence – Imposition of less than minimum sentence for special reasons – “Special Reasons”, meaning of</li> </ul>	10	9
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Test identification parade – Is not substantive evidence – Judgment can be based without test identification parade – Purpose – Testing the veracity of the witness to his capability of identifying persons who were unknown to him – FIR lodged against unknown person – Photographs of the accused were taken at the police station – I.O. allowed them to publish – Their names were shown as accused in said crime – Identification parade was held after ten days of arrest – Held, no value can be attached – Conviction cannot be held on vague identification</li> </ul>	289	382
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Time of death – Exact time of death cannot be established by ‘rigor mortis’ – ‘Rigor mortis’ depends upon many factors temperature, weather conditions, age, condition of the body etc. – Opinion of doctor about time of death based on rigor mortis – Cannot be ground to reject ocular evidence about time of death Ocular evidence vis-a-vis medical evidence – Ocular evidence that firing took place from long range – Medical evidence showing close range as tattooing or charring was found on the wound – It depends on propellant charge, nature of the gun and distance between victim and the gun – In such situation ocular evidence if trustworthy, should be relied upon</li> </ul>	225	301

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>DOWRY PROHIBITION ACT, 1961</b>		
Section 2	- No dowry – Demand for money due to financial stringency or meeting urgent domestic expenses Accused demanding money for domestic expenses and purchase of manure – Cannot be convicted for dowry death	163 213
<b>EASEMENTS ACT, 1882</b>		
Section 15	- Right of literal support for a building – Plaintiff cannot base his claim on ownership and easement simultaneously – May only claim as easement by prescription u/s 15 of the Act – Plaintiff is required to plead and prove necessary facts	366 458
Section 60	- Whether licence coupled with grant of interest in the nature of property is irrevocable? Held, Yes	367 459
<b>EDUCATION</b>		
	- Students Union election for the University/ Colleges – Need to ensure security to academic community involved in the process of election – Directions issued	38 43
<b>ELECTRICITY</b>		
	- Electricity, theft of – Theft of electricity being increasing in alarming proportion causing loss to State revenue; Court should impose heavy fine rendering theft a non-profitable venture	47 57
<b>ELECTRICITY ACT, 1910</b>		
Section 26(6)	- Meter tampering – Demand for actual consumption charges – Valid – Reference to Electrical Inspector u/s 26(6) of the Act is not required	368 460
<b>ELECTRICITY SUPPLY ACT, 1948 (since repealed)</b>		
Rules 26, 29, 44 and 45	- Negligence – Principles of strict liability and absolute liability, applicability of – Law explained – A lady came in contact with live electric wire outside her house and died due to electric shock – Held, Trial Court grossly erred in holding that liability to prove negligence was on claimants – Further held, MPEB is liable to pay compensation not only on the ground of negligence in discharging statutory obligation but also on the principle of strict liability	290 383

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 49 & 79 - Theft of electricity – Right of Electricity Board to recover loss caused to the Board due to theft or unauthorized use of electrical energy – Law explained	119	148
<b>ESSENTIAL COMMODITIES ACT, 1955</b>		
Sections 3 & 5 - Exercise of power of State Government under S.3 (2) (c) of the Act for controlling the price of milk – Duty of the State Government explained – Necessary directions issued	121 (ii)	150
Sections 6-A (1) and 3 - Confiscation of an essential commodity or a vehicle – Valid seizure is <i>sine qua non</i> for passing an order of confiscation – Confiscation of goods and vehicles amounts to deprivation of property – The order of confiscation should not be passed only because it would be lawful to do so – Authorities concerned must arrive at a clear finding in regard to violation of an order made u/s 3 – Power to confiscation is discretionary and not obligatory – Authority also required to give an option to the owner of such vehicle to pay in lieu of confiscation a fine not exceeding market price	291	386
<b>ESSENTIAL COMMODITIES (EXHIBITION OF PRICES AND PRICE CONTROL) ORDER, 1977 (M.P.)</b>		
- Order of 1977 rescinded by subsequent notification dated 2.9.2002 w.e.f. 13.9.2002 – Subsequent notification not having any saving clause regarding pending proceedings – Whether pending proceedings can be continued? Held, No – Law explained	89	106
<b>EVIDENCE</b>		
- Evidence adduced by the prosecution and the defence in a case cannot be considered in its counter case – Each case is to be decided on the basis of evidence available on record of the very case	292	387
<b>EVIDENCE ACT, 1872</b>		
Section 3 - Appreciation of evidence of injured and eye witnesses – Examination of witness after five years of the incident – Subjected to lengthy cross-examination – Occurring discrepancies in their testimony and in comparison to versions		

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	of eye-witnesses in connection with weapons used by each of accused person for inflicting injuries on the persons of each of injured witness as also on the person of deceased – The same cannot be treated very serious, vital and significant for disbelieving and discarding substratum of prosecution case	152	199
Section 3	- Interested witness – Evidentiary value – Neither relationship alone is determinative of interestedness nor relationship affect the credibility of witness – If plea of false implication is made, foundation has to be laid – Law explained	293	388
Section 9	- Last seen together – When relevant – Necessary considerations – Duration of time gap is also material circumstance – That is material consideration – Possibility of any other person meeting or approaching the deceased could completely be ruled out	224 (ii)	297
	- Identification of articles – Reliability – No sufficient opportunity to see the said articles being used by the deceased for long duration – Not carrying any distinctive marks – Easily accessible and available in market – Identification of such articles could not be believed	224 (iii)	297
Section 9	- Test identification parade – Is not substantive evidence – Judgment can be based without test identification parade – Purpose – Testing the veracity of the witness to his capability of identifying persons who were unknown to him – FIR lodged against unknown person – Photographs of the accused were taken at the police station – I.O. allowed them to publish – Their names were shown as accused in said crime – Identification parade was held after ten days of arrest – Held, no value can be attached – Conviction cannot be held on vague identification	289	382
Sections 17 to 21	- Admissions are substantive evidence, though they are not conclusive proof of the matters – When an explanation is made in respect of admission, then it does not bind a party to whom it amounts to estoppel	419 (ii)	525



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 24	- Extra judicial confession – No need to stated exact words used by the accused – However, there should not be vital and material differences	369 (i)	461
Sections 24 & 30	- Confession – If found voluntary, may be the basis of conviction	226 (i)	303
Section 25	- Statement made to Narcotics Officer – Whether inadmissible because of the provisions of S.25? – Held, No	24	26
Sections 25, 133	- Evidence of accomplice – Normally, should not be accepted without corroboration Officer of the department of Revenue Intelligence under NDPS Act is not a police Officer – Confession made before such officer is admissible – Yet, such confession must be subject to closer scrutiny than a confession made to private citizens or officials	242	323
Section 27	- Approach of Court while appreciating evidence – Law stated Evidence – Recovery of arms from the house of accused on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused – Failure of prosecution to prove ownership of house is not fatal	294	388
Sections 32, 63 & 65	- Photostat copy of dying declaration is exhibited – Prosecution has not given any explanation of original – No question was put to the doctor about the original document – Prosecution has not issued any notice u/s 66 of the Act – No evidence was produced that original was not available – Presiding Judge has also failed to perform his duty as per S.165 of the Act – Held, in such circumstances photostat copy of dying declaration cannot be used as secondary evidence	295	389
Section 32	- Dying declaration – Principle – Conviction may be based solely on dying declaration – No absolute rule can be laid down that dying declaration cannot be acted unless corroborated by independent source of evidence – Whether it should be accepted or not depends upon circumstances of the case – Previous case laws discussed	370	462
Section 32 (1)	- Dying declaration – Whether statement of the deceased recorded u/s 161 Cr.P.C. and FIR recorded u/s 154 Cr.P.C. at his instance can be treated as dying declaration on his death?		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	Held, Yes – Further held, police officer recording F.I.R. need not obtain certificate as to the mental fitness of the author	2 1
Section 34	- Power of attorney holder – If he has rendered some 'acts' in pursuance of power of attorney, he may depose for the principal in respect of such acts – He cannot depose for the principal in respect of the matters which only the principal can have a personal knowledge and also for the acts done by the principal and not by him	371 (i) 464
	- Entries in books of accounts regularly kept in the course of business are corroborative evidence – Entries not by themselves sufficient to charge any one with liability	371 (ii) 464
Section 61	- Proof of a document – Objection as to admissibility – Must be raised at appropriate time – Once part of the contents of the document is admitted in evidence then the party bringing it on record cannot be permitted to contend that the other contents had not been proved	397 (ii) 502
Section 63	- Will – Mode and manner to prove execution of Will – Law explained – What circumstances may be suspicious ? Stated	159 206
Section 65	- Secondary evidence – For adducing secondary evidence it is necessary for the party to prove existence and execution of the original document – Requirement of S.65 must be fulfilled before secondary evidence can be admitted	296 390
Section 68	- Will, proof of – Law explained	12 13
Section 71	- Proof when attesting witness denies the attestation – Applicability of – An attesting witness denies or does not recollect execution of the document then it may be proved by other evidence – However, in case where attesting witness examined, fails to prove the due execution of Will, it cannot be said that witness denies or does not recollect the execution	415 (iv) 520
Section 90	- 30 years old document if produced from proper custody may presume to be genuine and correct unless otherwise proved – Law explained	410 (ii) 516
Section 106	- Appreciation of evidence – Facts within special knowledge of the accused – Duty of the accused to explain – Evidence of last seen with the deceased – Extent of burden on the accused to offer explanation – Law explained	72 87

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 113-B	- Dowry death – Presumption u/s 113 (B) – Expression 'soon before', meaning of – Expression is pregnant with idea of proximity but not synonymous with the term 'immediately before'	69 (i)	84
Section 115	- Interpretation of statutes particularly consolidating statutes, effect of – Repeal and saving clause – Law explained	372 (i)	465
	- Promissory estoppel, nature of – Promissory estoppel gives rise to cause of action – It indisputably creates a right and also acts on equity	372 (ii)	465
	- Doctrine of legitimate expectation – Basis and applicability of	372 (iii)	465
Section 115	- Title cannot be vested only by reason of acquiescence or estoppel on the part of other	373 (i)	469
	- Tenant's claim for possession based on title – No animus possidendi – Title by prescription cannot be claimed	373 (ii)	469
Section 120	- Competent witness – Husband holding special power of attorney of wife/plaintiff – Husband is competent to depose for his wife as provided u/S 120 – No adverse inference can be drawn due to non-examination of plaintiff/wife	227	304
Section 137	- Cross examination is not a mere formality – It is a matter of substance – Party is required to put its version to shake the credibility of statement	228	304

## EXAMINATION

- Examination – Duty of the Board to ensure correction of marksheet – Due to negligence lesser marks shown in the marksheet – Held, Board rightly saddled with liability to pay Rs. 20,000/- as damages to the concerned	77	91
--	----	----

## FACTORIES ACT, 1948

Sections 2 (m) 7 (1) (f) & 92	- Liability of a city engineer looking after water protectin plant of Municipal Corporation as occupier u/s 2 (n) and r/w/s 92 for the violation of the provisions of the Act - Held, proscution of the city engineer cannot quashed on the ground that actual offender is someone else thoughtsuch defence can be taken by him at a later stage	131	166
----------------------------------	--	-----	-----

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>FAMILY COURTS ACT, 1984</b>		
- Decree for restitution of conjugal rights, execution of – Reasonable cause to live separately because of bitter relations – Court can refuse to execute decree	14	15
<b>GENERAL CLAUSES ACT, 1897</b>		
Section 27 - Compliance of giving a notice u/s 138 (b) of NI Act – Notice is sent by registered post by correctly addressing the drawer – Presumption raised u/s 27 of the General Clauses Act that notice is deemed to have been effected – It is not necessary to aver in the complaint that notice was evaded by the accused or that the accused had a role to play in the return of the notice unserved – However, presumption is rebutable – Course open to drawer – He can within 15 days of the receipt of the summons, may make payment of the cheque amount and pray for rejection of complaint – If accused does not make payment within 15 days of receipt of summons, he cannot contend that there was no proper service of notice required u/s 138 of the NI Act	403	509
<b>HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH RULES</b>		
Rule 11 - Judges composing Division Bench differing on point of law – Procedure to be followed – Point of difference to be formulated by the Division Bench and be placed before the Chief Justice for consideration – Chief Justice may nominate either one Judge or more Judges to deal with the issue – Law explained	27	28
<b>HINDU LAW</b>		
- Family arrangement, bindingness of – Bona fide disputes settled by bona fide family arrangement is final and binding on the parties to settlement – Law explained	44	52
- Joint family – Severance in status – Can be caused by any co-owner expressing his unequivocal intention to separate – Such intention can be expressed by filing a suit for partition – Severance is different connotation from partition – Party may continue to possess the property jointly unless partition takes		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
place by metes and bounds – Family settlement not acted upon by the parties – The party may file suit for partition	374	470
- Joint family property – Alienation by karta and his sons – Legal necessity	297	392
- Joint family property – Proof and presumption – Burden of proof lies on the party who asserted it	300	398
- Hindu Law – Mitakshara law as administered in Bombay, Madras and Madhya Pradesh – A coparcener may alienate for value of his undivided interest in coparcenary property without the consent of the other coparcener – However, the purchaser not in possession is required to file the suit for partition to obtain possession	375	470
- Joint Hindu family property, proof of – Existence of joint family does not lead to presumption that property held by any member is joint – Burden lies upon a person asserting that it is joint	134	171
- Religious and charitable endowments – Whether temple is private or public, considerable factor – Discussed	298	392
- Reunion after partition in joint Hindu family – Requires an intention of parties to reunite in estate and interest – Who asserts reunion must strictly prove it in the same manner in which any other disputed fact is required to be proved – Mere joint residing or providing food and taking care of lands in the old age of father cannot be treated as reunion of family	299	395
- Sources of Hindu Law – Custom is one of the three sources of Hindu Law – Hindu Law recognizes local custom, class custom and family custom – Proof – Where custom is repeatedly brought to notice of the Courts, Court may hold that custom introduced into the law without the necessity of proof in individual case – Court can also take judicial notice of such custom in terms of S. 57 of Evidence Act – Primacy of custom vis-à-vis statute – If statutory law does not exclude applicability of customary law, customary law would prevail over the statutory law – In absence of any proof of custom, Hindu law would apply	301	398

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>HINDU MARRIAGE ACT, 1955</b>		
Section 12 - Petition of nullity of marriage – On grounds of pre-marital pregnancy – Husband after becoming aware of the fact – Performed marital intercourse – Medical evidence does not show that wife was pregnant at the time of marriage – Rejection of petition is proper	302	399
Sections 13 & 23 (2) - Power of Court to direct a party (plaintiff or defendant) to appear in person Divorce petition – Issuance of interim order of non-bailable warrant for non-compliance with Court order to appear in person – Legality – Held, it is within the power of Court	167	219
Section 13 (1) (i-a) - ‘Mental cruelty’ has no comprehensive definition – Depends on the entire human behaviour – Cannot be assimilated in one definition – Instances for guidance which may infer mental cruelty is only suggestive	229	305
Sections 23 (2) & 24 - Pendente lite maintenance granted without making an effort of reconciliation u/s 23 (2) is not illegal – Provisions of S.23 (2) Hindu Marriage Act not mandatory	164	214
Section 24 - Interim alimony u/s 24, grant of – Wife found guilty of administering poison to husband – Whether it can be a ground to refuse interim alimony u/s 24? Held, No – Law explained	55	64
Section 24 - Maintenance pendente lite – Order to be passed after taking into consideration petitioner's own income and income of respondent – Neglect by a spouse or refusal to maintain spouse is not a pre-condition u/s 24 of the Act – Fact that wife is voluntarily living separately and/or mere pendency of application for restitution of conjugal rights cannot debar her from claiming maintenance u/s 24 of the Act	376	472
<b>HINDU SUCCESSION ACT, 1956</b>		
- Jurisdiction of civil Court vis-à-vis Rent Controlling Authority in a case where one of the plaintiffs covered by S.23-J and the other one dies during the pendency of the civil suit – Question of jurisdiction should be determined on the basis of facts on the date of institution of suit – Law explained	99 (i)	121



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 6 & 8	- Section 6 governs succession on the death of co-parcener having only male descendants - Proviso to S. 6 creates an exception	46	56
Section 14	- Right to maintenance of Hindu female – Pre-existing right under Shastric Hindu Law - Even 1937 Act does not apply – Widow in possession of property of her husband has right in the same – Word 'acquired' used in S.14 of Act includes right acquired by succession - Alienation of property by widow is valid	165	215
Section 22	- Transfer of interest in an immovable property by co-heir in violation of S. 22 (1) of the Act – Remedy for other co-heirs to enforce preferential right by way of filing civil suit – Law explained	145	189

### **HINDU WOMEN'S RIGHT TO PROPERTY ACT, 1937**

Section 3	- Right to maintenance of Hindu female – Pre-existing right under Shastric Hindu Law - Even 1937 Act does not apply – Widow in possession of property of her husband has right in the same – Word 'acquired' used in Section 14 of Hindu Succession Act includes right acquired by succession – Alienation of property by widow is valid	165	215
-----------	--	-----	-----

### **INDIAN PENAL CODE, 1860**

Section 96	- Right of private defence – No test in the abstract can be laid down for determining legitimacy of action in the exercise of right of private defence - Accused need not prove the existence of right of private defence beyond reasonable doubt - Preponderance of probabilities is enough in favour of the plea	139	184
Sections 96 & 105	- Right of private defence – Availability – Aggressor – Determination – Presence of injuries on body of accused person, non-explanation of – Effect – Commencement of right and time to which it is available – Burden of proof – Law explained	377	475
Section 100	- No right of private defence – When established that accused went to field of deceased and his family – Attacked them with lethal weapons Right to defend does not include a right to launch an offence or to be aggressive	151	198

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 148 & 302/149	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Case under Special Act filed before Sessions Court which took cognizance without the case being committed Sessions Court did not frame charge under Special Act and proceeded – On conclusion of trial accused was convicted u/Ss 148, 302/149 – Whether non- committal of the case vitiates proceedings? Held, No – Conflicting judgments of the Apex Court as to the legality of trial without committal – Both the judgments passed by Bench of equal strength – Earlier judgment applicable as it is not discussed in the later judgment – Committing the case without trial does not vitiate the proceedings</li> </ul>	230 307
Section 149	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Joint liability – Not necessary that each person of the assembly should be assigned independent role in the commission of crime</li> </ul>	180 236
Sections 153-A & 505 (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Offences u/ss. 153-A &amp; 505 (2), ingredients of- Merely inciting the feeling of community or group without any reference to any other community or group cannot attract either S.153-A or S.505 (2) – The intention to cause disorder or incite the people to violence is the sine qua non – The intention has to be judged from whole context – Isolated passages or sentences here or from there cannot be taken into account – The effect of the words must be judged from standard of reasonable, strong, firm and courageous men and not those of weak and vacillating mind</li> </ul>	303 400
Section 193	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Prosecution for perjury – Forming of the opinion that 'it is expedient in the interest of justice' is a sine qua non for launching prosecution – There must be a case of deliberate falsehood</li> </ul>	354 450
Sections 193 & 196	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Doctor conducting post mortem not referring injuries certain injuries in the post mortem report – On appreciation found that post mortem report was left incomplete to give undue advantage to the accused – Such willful act amounts to an offence u/s 193/196 IPC – Prosecution of the doctor ordered for intentionally giving false evidence</li> </ul>	124 153
Section 201	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Accused was charged u/ss 302 &amp; 201 of IPC for murder and causing disappearance of evidence of offence – Even if main offence</li> </ul>	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 290 (1)	<p>is not established, accused can be convicted u/s 201 of the Act</p> <p>- Obscenity – Test of obscenity to be applied by Courts – Law explained</p>	<p>378 479</p> <p>136 175</p>
Section 300/149	<p>- Appreciation of evidence of injured and eye witnesses – Examination of witness after five years of the incident – Subjected to lengthy cross-examination – Occurring discrepancies in their testimony and in comparison to versions of eye-witnesses in connection with weapons used by each of accused person for inflicting injuries on the persons of each of injured witness as also on the person of deceased – The same cannot be treated very serious, vital and significant for disbelieving and discarding substratum of prosecution case</p>	<p>152 199</p>
Section 300, Exception 4 & Section 96	<p>- What is sudden fight? Difference of Exception 1 and 4 – Benefit Exception 4 not available when offender has taken undue advantage or acted in cruel manner – Verbal altercation in the beginning – Accused became very furious and caused injury on vital parts of unarmed person – Acted brutally – Benefit not available – Law explained</p> <p>- Right of private defence – Mere presence of injuries on body of accused and non-explanation of the same does not raise presumption of availability of such right – It is a defensive right in nature – It is not available for vindictive, aggressive or retributive purpose of offence – Law explained</p>	<p>149 (i) 193</p> <p>149 (ii) 193</p>
Sections 300 & 302	<p>- Murder – Circumstantial evidence – Chain of evidence completed – Conviction of accused proper</p> <p>Death sentence, when proper? Law explained</p> <p>Accused committed murder of his wife and four children – Act not only brutal but also inhumane with no remorse for the same</p> <p>Claim to be in drunkenness at relevant time – Does not dilute the gravity of the offence – Case squarely falls under rarest of rare category to warrant death sentence</p>	<p>162 210</p>
Section 304 - A	<p>- Applicability of Section 304-A</p>	<p>186 (i) 242</p>

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 304-B	- No dowry – Demand for money due to financial stringency or meeting urgent domestic expenses Accused demanding money for domestic expenses and purchase of manure – Cannot be convicted for dowry death	163	213
Section 306	- Harassment of wife by husband or in-laws due to differences – Per se does not attract S.306 r/w/s 107 – If wife commits suicide due to demand of dowry, S. 304-B may be attracted – But mere demand of dowry do not fall within the ambit of S. 107	379	480
Sections 306 & 498-A	- Abetment of suicide – Proof – Only evidence against the accused are three dying declarations – Dying declarations are contradictory to each other – In first dying declaration she stated that while pumping stove her saree caught fire and accused tried to save her – In the subsequent one she stated she poured kerosene on her in person and set herself ablaze because she was angry with her husband – Accused entitled to benefit of doubt	304	401
Section 307	- S.307, ingredients of – Court has to see whether the act has been done with the culpable intention or knowledge irrespective of result – Injury caused is not always decisive factor	231	308
Section 307	- Attempt to murder – To constitute offence u/s 307, it is not essential that bodily injury capable of causing death should have been inflicted – Requisite intention coupled with overt act sufficient to cause offence – Law explained	51	60
Section 354	- Applicability of S.3 (1) (i) of SC & ST (P.A.) Act in cases of outraging of modesty or causing dishonour to the victim belonging to SC/ST – Law explained	107	131
Sections 354, 366 and 376/511	- Accused prosecuted for committing attempt to rape and charged accordingly – Charge not found proved – Allegation that he took away prosecutrix, a girl of 12/14 years to his gumti found proved – Whether he can be convicted u/Ss. 366 & 354 IPC without there being an independent charge with the help of S.222 Cr.P.C.? Law explained	45	54
Sections 354, 375 & 511	- Difference between rape, attempt to rape and outraging the modesty – Law explained	105	129



ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 409,	- Offence of criminal breach of trust by public servant, ingredients of – Proof of the offence – If entrustment is admitted by the accused he should prove that entrustment has been carried out	79	93
Section 420	- An act can result in both civil and criminal liability – Dishonestly induced the complainant to deliver Rs. 2000/- as part payment alleged for sale of land, knowingly that he is not the owner of the land – Offence u/s 420 of I.P.C. is made out	305	402
Section 420	- Cheating – Ingredients of offence of cheating – Law explained	23	26
Section 467 & 468	- Sanction – Chargesheet filed against the accused and his family members for offence u/s 13 – S. 13 (1) containing five clauses for different types of offences – Whether at the stage of filing of the chargesheet it is necessary to show which particular clause covers the alleged offence – Again whether sanctioning authority is required to separately specify each offence in the sanction order? Held, No	93 (ii)	111
Section 499	- Defamation, proof of	381 (i)	483
	- Powers u/s 398 of the Code – Can only be exercised when complaint was dismissed u/s 203 or under sub-section (4) of S. 204 of the Code or in case where any accused has been discharged	381 (ii)	483

### INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS-ACT, 1960 (M.P.)

Section 111	- Distinction between illegal appointment and irregular appointment – If an appointment is illegal – Not entitled to be classified as permanent employee nor be directed to be regularized – Law explained	157	205
-------------	--	-----	-----

### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- Passing off – Requirements – Plaintiff has to establish prior user of trade mark – Registration of similar trade mark in point of time is irrelevant consideration – Modern tort of passing off action – Similarities rather than dissimilarities have to be taken note of in light of “phonetic similarities” – Law explained	382	383
--	-----	-----



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS</b>		
- Passing off, action for – Doctrine of 'passing off', meaning and concept of – Law explained	49	58
<b>INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES</b>		
- Golden Rule Statutes are to be interpreted according to grammatical and ordinary sense of the word in grammatical or literal meaning unmindful of consequence of such interpretation has given go-by – 'Rule of legislative intent' and 'purposive interpretation', is developing trend	234	312
- Interpretation of Statutes – Even provision if law, couched in negative language implying mandatory character in the background of entire context may be held directory	334 (ii)	433
<b>JURISPRUDENCE</b>		
- Doctrine of 'legitimate expectation' – Expression 'legitimate expectation', meaning and connotation of – Law explained	42	48
<b>JUVENILE JUSTICE (CARE &amp; PROTECTION OF CHILDREN) ACT, 2000</b>		
Sections 7	- Age of juvenile, ascertainment of – Law explained Age must be determined by the trial Court by holding an enquiry – If two views are possible, then the view favourable to accused ought to be accepted	306 402
<b>LAND ACQUISITION ACT, 1894</b>		
Sections 4 & 6	- Public purpose – Land acquired for particular purpose can be utilized for another public purpose – Acquisition cannot be invalidated solely on this ground	307 403
Sections 4 & 6	- Whether notification u/Ss 4 & 6 can be issued simultaneously? Held, No – Law explained	59 68
Section 18	- Whether Reference Court can remand the matter to Land Acquisition Officer for re-consideration? Held, No because reference u/s 18 is not an appeal – Law explained	120 149
Sections 18 & 30	- Reference u/s 18 – Only regarding the amount of compensation – Court's jurisdiction confined to answer only that question – Going beyond this issue and adjudicating dispute regarding	

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	apportionment inter se u/s 30 is illegal as out of jurisdiction – Law explained Reference u/s 30 as to apportionment – No time limit – However, it should be done within reasonable time	156	204
Section 23	- Compensation – Deduction of costs of cultivation – When not necessary – Law explained - Acquisition of agricultural land – Compensation on yield basis – Multiplier of 20 is on higher side – Multiplier of 10 should be applied	190	248
Sections 23 (1), 28 and 34	- Rule of appropriation, true meaning of – Whether normal rule of appropriation contained in O.XXI R.1 CPC regarding execution of money decree and mortgage decrees excluded by Ss.28 and 34 of LA Act? Law explained	43	49
Section 28-A	- Limitation u/s 28-A, computation of – Period should be computed from the date of award passed by the Court u/s 18 and not from the date of judgment by appellate court	5	4

#### **LAND REVENUE CODE, 1959 (M.P.)**

Section 248 (As amended by Act No. 2000)	- Maintainability of suit against order passed u/s 248 of MPLRC – S.248 clause (3) deleted vide Amendment Act of 2000 – Held – Suit not maintainable	91	108
Sections 257 (1-1) & 170-B	- Transaction took place before the period contemplated u/s 170-B for inquiry – Jurisdiction of Civil Court not barred	235	315

#### **LAW OF TORTS**

- Negligence – Rule of foreseeability, applicability of – Law explained	383	484
---	-----	-----

#### **LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES SUITS ACT, 1855**

Section 1	- Whether application for compensation regarding personal injury can be filed by the L.Rs of the injured, whose death was not due to accident? Held, No	34	35
-----------	---	----	----

#### **LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITIES ACT, 1987**

Sections 20(3) & 20(5)	- Lok Adalat – Jurisdiction of Lok Adalat to dispose of a case – Unless there is compromise of settlement between parties which requires bilateral involvement, the case cannot be disposed of by Lok Adalat – Law explained	40	44
------------------------	--	----	----

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>LIMITATION ACT,1963</b>		
Section 5	- Condonation of delay – Delay in filing appeal due to impersonal State machinery and bureaucratic delay – Court should give certain amount of latitude for these factors while considering prayer for condonation – Law explained	13 14
Section 14	- Exclusion of time of proceeding bonafide in court without jurisdiction – Law explained	236 316
Section 14 & Article 54	- Whether amendment application for time barred relief of specific performance can be allowed? Held, No – Law explained	384 488
Section 27	- Adverse possession, proof of – Law explained	385 489
Article 65	- Claim for possession against tenant – Limitation – Article 65 would apply	373 (iii) 469
Article 58	- A suit for declaration relating to service matter – Governed by Article 58 of Limitation Act and the period is three years Declaration about illegality of order denying revised scale of pay – Time stated to run from the date of endorsement of the order	161 209
Article 59	- Suit for cancellation of document – Documents whether void or voidable, determination of – Limitation – Article 59 applicable where coercion, undue influence, misappropriation of fraud is alleged – Law explained	85 (i) 101
Articles 64 and 65	- Adverse Possession – Burden of proof – Starting point of limitation and ingredients thereof – Law explained	179 234
Article 65	- Adverse possession – Ingredients of – There must be intention to dispossess – Intention to dispossess should not be substituted for intention to possess – Possession must be hostile so as to give reasonable notice and opportunity to real owner	308 404
Article 65	- Claim for possession against tenant – Limitation – Article 65 would apply	373 (iii) 469
Articles 91 & 137	- What should be the period of limitation in respect of wrongful detention of goods? Held, three years – It is not continuing wrong – Limitation starts from the date when property is wrongfully taken or injured or when the detainer's possession becomes unlawful Counter-claim time barred – Rejected	155 203

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Article 136	- Execution application is to be moved within 12 years from enforceability and not from executionability – Law explained	146 191
<b>M.P. CIVIL SERVICES (CLASSIFICATION, CONTROL AND APPEAL) RULES, 1966</b>		
Rule 10	- Dies non – Is a major penalty – Regular departmental enquiry is necessary prior to inflicting such a penalty	386 489
F. R.22 (D)	- Deputation – Person appointed on higher post from one Government Department to another – Question of higher pay – Held – FR 22(D) of the Rules of 1966 apply to only regular promotion to the post and not applicable to cases of deputation – However, if he is fixed at the higher salary without any misrepresentation or fraud on his part then excess pay cannot be recovered without issuing any show cause notice	413 518
<b>M.P. CIVIL SERVICES (GENERAL CONDITIONS OF SERVICE) RULES, 1961</b>		
Rule 8 (6)	- Whether completion of probation period simplicitor will amount to confirmation of the probationer? Held, No – Law explained	113 (ii) 137
<b>M.P. CIVIL SERVICES PENSION RULES, 1976</b>		
Rule 42	- Assistant Surgeon – Appointed ad hoc basis – Not entitled to pension under M.P. Civil Services Pension Rules, 1976	173 225
<b>M.P. EDUCATIONAL (COLLEGIATE BRANCH) RECRUITMENT RULES, 1967</b>		
	- Absorption – Principal of private college absorbed on the post of Assistant Professor, who was lacking teaching experience as a Professor – Held, while he was holding the post of Principal which is higher than Professor – His absorption as Principal – Not be denied on the aforesaid ground – Undertaking for abiding the condition of absorption cannot be stretched to the extent of denying him from legal right accrued under the rule of absorption	174 226

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>M.P. LOWER JUDICIAL SERVICE (RECRUITMENT AND CONDITIONS) RULES, 1994</b>		
Rule 7	- Appointment by direct recruitment to the post of Civil Judge Class II – Eligibility therefor - Candidate must possess a degree in LL.B. - Candidates had appeared for LL.B. final year examination – Results not declared by University – Held, for not declaring results by University, petitioners cannot be made to suffer – Directions issued to the M.P. P.S.C. to permit petitioners to appear for examination subject to condition that they will produce proof of their having passed LL.B. final year examination before the interview	387 490
<b>M.P. MADHYASTHAM ADHIKARAN ADHINIYAM, 1983</b>		
Sections 2 (g), 2 (i), 7 & 20	- Dispute between a registeed society constituted by the Government for constructing roads under PMGSY and the consultant appointed by it regarding execution of the contract – Whether the disputant society is covered by the definition of 'State' or 'Public undertaking' of the State Government and the dispute can be covered by dispute under 'works contract' u/s 2 (i) of the State Act ? Held, yes - Further held, S.11 (6) of the Act of 1996 not applicable in such a case	130 (i) 162
<b>M.P. SAMAJ KE KAMJOR WARGON KE KRISHI BHUMIDHARAKON KE UDHAR DENE WALON KE BHUMI HADAPNE SAMBANDHI KUCHAKRON SE PARITRAN TATHA MUKTI ADHINIYAM, 1977</b>		
Section 2 (f)	- Bar created u/s 12 of the Act over lender of money not to enter into prohibited transaction of loan – Has the overriding effect of any other law – Transaction of loan entered into by money lender in the name of some other person in order to defeat provisions of the Act is covered under protective umbrella of the Act - Question as to whether transaction is prohibited transaction or not – Is to be decided by the SDO and not by the Civil Judge	309 (i) 405 309 (ii) 405
<b>M.P. VAN UPAJ (VYAPAR VINIYAMAN) ADHINIYAM, 1969</b>		
Section 15(6)	- Confiscation of vehicle used for commission of offence under the Act – Expression 'used without his knowledge or connivance' as	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
appearing in S.15 (6), meaning of – Burden is on the owner to prove that the vehicle was used without his knowledge or connivance	117	145
<b>MEDICAL TERMINATION OF PREGNANCY ACT, 1971</b>		
Section 3 - Unwanted pregnancy – Pregnancy due to failure of Family Planning Operation – It amounts to unwanted pregnancy constituting grave injury to the mental health of the pregnant woman – Can be terminated under Act of 1971 – Couple opting to bear the child – Child ceases to be unwanted child – Couple cannot seek compensation for failure of operation and for upbringing of the child – Law explained	28	30
<b>MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988</b>		
Sections 2 (9), 2 (30), 146 & 147-A - Insurance policy containing a clause allowing the insured (owner) to drive – Cannot be interpreted so as to cover the risk of owner driving vehicle unless additional premium was paid to cover such risk	388	491
Section 113(2) - Appeal or revision against award of less than Rs. 10,000/- – The remedy of appeal is not available – Revision u/s 115 of CPC is maintainable to assail an award passed by M.A.C.T. – Constitutional remedy under Article 226/227 is also not available	389	491
Sections 128 and 166 - Motor accident – Driving the motor cycle with two pillion riders – Head-on collusion between motor cycle and truck – Death of the driver of the motor cycle – Whether it is a case of contributory negligence on the part of motor cycle driver? Held, Yes	6	6
Sections 140 & 166 - 'Right to apply for compensation' vis-à-vis 'entitlement to compensation' are two different concepts – Legal representative whether dependant or not has right to apply for compensation – Dependency has nexus with quantum of compensation – Liability in terms of S.140 of the Act does not cease because of absence of dependency	310	406
Sections 140 & 173 - Order passed u/s 140 of the Act – Is an award and is appealable u/s 173 of the Act	390	492
Section 147 - Insurance Company liable for in case of dishonour of cheque issued regarding premium		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 147	amount – Intimation of the sender sent to the owner after cancellation of policy – Insurance company not liable for payment	30 32
Section 147	- Point of time when policy becomes operative – Vehicle was covered by a policy which was to expire by the midnight of 07.02.1996 – Accident took place at 4.30 a.m. on 08.02.1996 – Vehicle was insured by another policy on 08.02.1996 by same insurer, specifically indicating the time of commencement as 10 a.m. – Held, Insurance Company is not liable to pay compensation	391 493
Sections 147, 2 (34)	- Accident took place when the tractor was ploughing the field – Insurance Company took the plea that accident did not occur at public place – ‘Public place’ in terms of S.2 (34) of the Act – Meaning of	311 407
Sections 147 & 149	- Third party risk cases vis-à-vis own damage cases – Principle of ‘pay and recover’ applies in former cases and not in latter ones – National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Swaran Singh, (2004) 3 SCC 297 has no application in the own damage cases	237 317
Sections 147, 149 & 166	- Insurance policy in terms of S.147 is not intended to cover persons other than third party – “Any Person” in S. 147 (i) (b) is to be understood as third party except liability arising under Workmen’s Compensation Act, 1923 – Driver, owner and employee of the owner is not covered under third party – Principle of Swaran Singh’s case, (2004) 3 SCC 297 is applicable only in claims by third party	312 (i) 408
Section 147 (b)	- Impleadment of driver – Contract of indemnity – Primary liability is of the driver – Liability of owner of vehicle is vicarious liability – Therefore driver is necessary party and should be impleaded - Insurer’s liability – Owner himself was driving the vehicle – He was found negligent – Section 147 does not require an insurance company to assume risk for death or bodily injury to the owner of the vehicle – Held, petition u/s 166 M.V. Act not maintainable	312 (ii) 408 238 318



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 147 (1) (b), 149 (1), 58 (2) (d) & 72 (2) (VII)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bus had seating capacity of 42 passengers – Bus was insured in terms of S. 147 (1) (b) (ii) for 42 passengers – At the time of accident, bus was carrying 90 passengers – 26 died, 63 persons were injured – Whether Insurance Company may be held liable for more passengers than permitted by the Certificate of Registration? Held – ‘Any passenger’ u/s 147 (1) (b) (ii) must be understood as the passenger authorized to be carried in vehicle – Liability of Insurance Company cannot extend to more than the number of persons covered by the Insurance Policy – Insurer can be made liable only in respect of number of passengers for whom the insurance can be taken under the Act – How to ascertain as to whom out of the overloaded passengers are covered by the Insurance Policy? Methodology innovated – Higher of the 42 onwards made, be added up and the Insurance Company be directed to deposit the lump sum – Thereafter it is to be distributed proportionately amongst all the claimants and balance should be recovered from the owner of the vehicle</li> </ul>	392 494
Section 149	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Insurer, liability of – Death of the person while traveling on tractor – Premium paid only regarding driver – No other person allowed to travel on tractor – Whether Insurance Company liable for compensation? Held, No</li> </ul>	58 67
Section 149	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Tractor trolley, use of for non-agricultural purpose – Death of person sitting on the tractor due to accident of tractor – Insurance policy stipulating use of tractor only for agricultural purpose – Whether Insurance Company exonerated due to breach of policy condition? Held, Yes</li> </ul>	112 136
Section 149	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Vehicle (jeep) insured for private purpose used for carrying passengers – Jeep colliding with another vehicle (Maruti van) – Whether Insurance Company exonerated from liability in respect of the person traveling in Maruti? Held, Yes – Further held, Insurance Company should make good the award and recover the amount from owner of jeep</li> </ul>	20 22

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 158 (6)	- The information of motor vehicle accident involving death or bodily injuries by police to Tribunal is mandatory – Direction issued to Government to instruct the police officer to ensure compliance and take action against erring officials	393 497
Sections 165 (1) & 166	- Motor accident – Tanker dashed against the truck going ahead due to application of brake all of a sudden by driver of the truck and due to failure of the tanker driver to maintain safe distance – Owner of the tanker made a claim in respect of damage to the property against its insurer – Held, such a claim is not made with respect to damage to property of a third party therefore it is not maintainable before Accident Claims Tribunal – Owner may file claim before Civil Court	394 498
Section 166	- Compensation – Quantum – Method of determination in case of children of tender age – Due to uncertainty with regard to academic pursuits, achievements in career etc., assessment of income cannot be on estimated basis – Death of 14 year old boy in motor accident – Award of Tribunal granting compensation of Rs. 1,00,000/- – Held, proper – Moreso when mother is only survivor	395 500
Section 166	- Rashness and negligence, proof of – Law explained Held, proof of rashness and negligence on the part of driver of the vehicle is a sine qua non for maintaining an application u/s 166 of the Act	397 (i) 504
Sections 166, 2(14), 2(44) & 2(46)	- Tractor is not 'goods carriage' vehicle – Tractor attached with trolley – When may be 'goods carriage' and when not? Law explained	396 501
Section 166	- Whether application for compensation regarding personal injury can be filed by the L.Rs of the injured, whose death was not due to accident? Held, No	34 35
Sections 166 & 168	- Motor accident – Determination of compensation – Selection of multiplier – Law explained The highest multiplier of 18 for the age group of 21 to 25 years – The lowest multiplier for the age group of 60 to 70 years should be selected for just compensation	239 319

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 166 and 168 - Whether widow having her own income is disentitled to claim reasonable compensation for death of her husband? Held, No – Law explained	25	27
Section 168 - Death of 9 year old child – Compensation must be just – It should not be bonanza but the same not be a pittance Age of parents also relevant factor – An award of a sum of Rs. 1,80,000/- would meet the ends of justice along with interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of petition	147	191
Sections 168 & 166 - Claim for compensation cannot be dismissed on the basis of mistake in mentioning exact number of offending vehicles in F.I.R. lodged – Particularly when exact number is clear by other circumstances	313	410
Sections 168 & 169 - Filing of second claim petition after getting compensation in a motor accident based on subsequent events – Claimant may prefer a review petition before Tribunal under O.47 R.1 of the Civil Procedure Code on the ground of 'any other sufficient cause' mentioned in that provision	141	186
Sections 168 & 173 - Accident took place due to composite negligence of the drivers of the motor cycle and of a truck – Two girls were travelling as pillion riders on the motor cycle and died owing to injuries sustained in the accident – Deceased girls were not at fault – Tribunal deducted 30% amount out of compensation payable to LRs of deceased girls – Deeming it is to be paid by the owner, driver and insurer of motor cycle – Held, deceased were third parties and no deduction could be made from compensation – In such circumstances whole amount can be recovered from the owner, driver and insurer of one of the vehicles	398	504
<b>MOTOR VEHICLES RULES, 1989 (CENTRAL)</b>		
Rule 159 - The information of motor vehicle accident involving death or bodily injuries by police to Tribunal is mandatory – Direction issued to Government to instruct the police officer to ensure compliance and take action against erring officials	393	497

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>MOTOR VEHICLES RULES, 1994 (M.P.)</b>		
Rule 233	- Claim filed through next friend in accordance with O.32 Rule 1, – Rule 233, is not applicable in such a case – Claims Tribunal may appoint representative if it finds next friend to be not suitable	240 322
<b>MUNICIPAL CORPORATION ACT, 1956 (M.P.)</b>		
Section 401	- Service of notice, purpose of – Law explained Purpose of serving notice u/s 401 of the Act is to convey intention of plaintiff to file suit – If any relief is granted to plaintiff within stipulated period, then his suit is liable to be dismissed	314 (i) 410
<b>MUSLIM LAW</b>		
	- Wakfs – Once created cannot be extinguished – Title rest in Almighty – Wakfs may be questioned – If it is shown that Wakifs had no intention to create a Wakf but had done to avoid a liability	241 322
<b>MUSLIM WOMEN (PROTECTION OF RIGHTS ON DIVORCE) ACT, 1986</b>		
Section 3	- Muslim women may file application for maintenance u/s 125 of Cr.P.C – The Act of 1986 applies only to divorced woman and not to married woman – Talaq – Mode and proof of – Mere plea taken in written statement that husband uttered talaq thrice itself is not sufficient – Evidence must be adduced to prove that pronouncement of talaq claimed at earlier date – If Court notices that there was divorce, application u/s 125 of Cr.P.C. may be treated as an application under the Act of 1986 – Liability of Muslim husband to pay compensation to his divorced wife – Not confined to the iddat period but for future of the divorced wife	342 439
Sections 3, 4 & 5	- Application for maintenance by Muslim wife – Amendment sought in application to incorporate facts about her previous marriage and delete some sections of 1986 Act – Held – Maintainable	343 440
<b>MUTATION ENTRY</b>		
	- Mutation entry does not confer right of title of property – Law explained	246 (iii) 328

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>N.D.P.S. ACT, 1985</b>		
Section 37	242	323
Sections 42 and 43	399	505
Sections 50 & 55	401 (i)	508
	401 (ii)	508

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>NATURAL JUSTICE</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Principles of natural justice, applicability of – Principles of natural justice cannot be put in a strait jacket formula – It must be seen in circumstantial flexibility – The approach of Court, just be pragmatic rather than pedantic, realistic rather than doctrinaire functional rather than formal and practical rather than precedential – Law explained</li> </ul>	50	59
<b>NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS ACT, 1881</b>		
Section 118	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Presumption regarding passing of consideration u/s 118 – Presumption arises only when execution of promissory note is proved</li> </ul>	140 185
Section 138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Cheques issued against time barred debt – Held, promise to pay time barred debt is also valid and enforceable contract u/s 25 (3) of Contract Act – Further held, – such cheques come within sweep of S.138</li> </ul>	315 412
Section 138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Demand notice u/s 138 – The complainant must prove consent of demand notice by registered postal receipt or other evidence – Law explained</li> </ul>	33 35
Section 138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rejection of prayer of accused to sign the cheque for opinion of handwriting expert to ascertain the genuineness of signature on it – Improper – Court should have granted such a request unless it considers that the object of the accused was vexation or delaying the criminal proceedings – Denial of the prayer would leave to unfair trial</li> </ul>	168 220
Sections 138 & 141	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In complaint accused No.1 is described as 'business concern and not company or firm – Complaint does not describe in which capacity accused No. 2 to 6 working – Vicarious liability of Directors, partners or other persons in charge of business of company or firm arises only where offence is committed by company or firm – 'Business concern' is neither company nor firm – Difference between 'business concern' and 'firm' or 'company' – Explained</li> </ul>	316 413
Section 138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Compliance of giving a notice u/s 138 (b) of NI Act – Notice is sent by registered post by correctly addressing the drawer – Presumption raised u/s 27 of the General Clauses Act that</li> </ul>	



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
		notice is deemed to have been effected – It is not necessary to aver in the complaint that notice was evaded by the accused or that the accused had a role to play in the return of the notice unserved – However, presumption is rebuttable – Course open to drawer – He can within 15 days of the receipt of the summons, may make payment of the cheque amount and pray for rejection of complaint – If accused does not make payment within 15 days of receipt of summons, he cannot contend that there was no proper service of notice required u/s 138 of the NI Act
Sections 139, 118 (a) & 38	403	509
		- Presumption u/ss 139 and 118 (a) is rebuttable – Whether presumption rebutted or not depends upon case to case – Rebuttal of presumption is not beyond reasonable doubt but should be tested on preponderance of probabilities – It can be rebutted by material brought on record as well as by circumstances upon which the accused relies
Section 141	402	508
		- S.141 postulates constructive liability on the part of Directors of company or other persons responsible for the conduct of business of company – A person would be vicariously liable for commission of an offence on the part of the company only in the event the condition precedent laid down therefore is satisfied
	188	245

## PENALTY

- Imposition of penalty – Aggravating and mitigating factors in which offence has been committed, should be delicately balanced – Object of imposing punishment is to protect society and deter criminals	243	324
---	-----	-----

## PRACTICE & PROCEDURE

- Best evidence rule – Duty of a party to a suit in possession of important documents to produce them in Court – Law explained	87	104
- Disparaging remarks – Relevant considerations – Not called for unless necessary for decision an integral part thereof	244	325
- Injunction – Injunction restraining enforcement of order passed by a Tribunal having jurisdiction to pass such order, propriety		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
of – Held, normally such orders should not be granted unless fraud or some other vitiating factor shown – Law explained	97	117
- Joint trial – Court has inherent power to order a joint trial where it appears that rival parties have filed independent suits on same cause of action – Law explained	96	116
- Whether civil suit should be stayed when criminal suit is pending on the same cause of action? Held, no hard and fast principle that whenever criminal case is instituted, civil suit on the same cause of action must be stayed – Law explained	109	133
<b>PRECEDENTS</b>		
- Conception of precedent, principles of – Law explained A decision is an authority for what it actually decided – What is the essence in a decision is its ratio and every observation found therein and not what logically flows from observations made therein	404 (i)	510
- Ratio of any decision is to be understood in the background of the facts of that case	404 (ii)	510
<b>PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, 1988</b>		
Section 2 (c)	- Public servant – Officers/employees of State Electricity Board – Held, covered under the definition of S. 2(c) of the Act – A person may be public servant in terms of another statute Law laid down in Bimal Kumar Gupta v. Special Police Establishment Lokayukt, 2001 (1) MPHT 330=2001 (3) J LJ 2 expressly overruled	245 326
Sections 4 & 5 (1) (d)	- Presumption regarding acceptance of illegal gratification – Once it is proved that the amount has been received, it is immaterial whether the said acceptance of amount was for him or for someone else – It is also not relevant whether accused was or not in a position to oblige the complainant – Though presumption is rebuttable but accused has to lead evidence to rebutt – Merely by saying 'false involvement', the onus is not discharged	405 511
Sections 7 & 13 (1) (d)	- Acceptance of illegal gratification proceeded by a demand or not, difference between – Held, one is punishable u/s 7 while other	



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Section 13	u/s 13 (1) (d) – Demanding and receiving illegal gratification constitutes offence both u/Ss 7 and 13 (1) (d) - Sanction – Chargesheet filed against the accused and his family members for offence u/s 13 – S.13 (1) containing five clauses for different types of offences – Whether at the stage of filing of the chargesheet is it necessary to show which particular clause covers the alleged offence? Again whether sanctioning authority is required to separately specify each offence in the sanction order? Held, No	67 (i) 80
Section 13 (1) (e)	- Acquisition of assets disproportionate to known source of income – Special Court of which place has jurisdiction? In this regard situs of property may or may not have relevance – If situs of property has relevance for ascertaining his known source of income and disproportionate assets, Special Court where property is situated, would have jurisdiction irrespective of the fact whether officer during check period was posted there or not	93 (i) 111
Section 19	- Sanction for prosecution – Whether sanction necessary in case of a public servant who has ceased to hold the office by misusing and abusing which the offence was committed? Held, No	406 512
Section 19	- Sanction for prosecution – At the time of commission of offence accused was public servant – After departmental enquiry he was dismissed from service – Later on, chargesheet was filed without sanction from appropriate authority because accused was ceased to be public servant on the date of chargesheet – On appeal, order of dismissal was set aside and accused reinstated – Whether prosecution without sanction, in such case bad in the eye of law? Held, No	92 109
Section 49	- Sanction to prosecute, proof of – Being public document, it can be proved in terms of Ss.76 to 78 of Evidence Act	407 513
<b>PREVENTION OF FOOD ADULTERATION ACT, 1954</b>		
Section 13 (2)	- Sending copy of Public Analyst's report to accused, effect of non-compliance of S.13 (2) – Law explained	21 24
		22 25

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>PROBATION OF OFFENDERS ACT, 1958</b>			
Sections 3 & 4	- Applicability of S.3/4 of the Probation of Offenders Act and S. 360/361 of the Code of Criminal Procedure – Law explained	78 (i)	92
Sections 18 & 19	- Reference of S. 562 Cr.P.C. and S. 5 (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 (Old Act) In Section 18/19 of Probation of Offenders Act have to be read as reference to the corresponding provisions in new Cr.P.C. and new Act of 1988 – Law explained	67 (ii)	80
<b>PROTECTION OF WOMEN FROM DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT, 2005</b>			
Sections 2 (s), 17 and 19 (1)(f)	- 'Shared household', meaning of – Wife cannot claim right to live in a house which is exclusively belonging to her in-laws or relatives – Claim for alternative accommodation cannot be made against husband's relatives	184	239
Sections 2 (q), 12, 18, 31 & 32	- Application u/s 12 of the Act – Not maintainable against ladies	408 (i)	514
	- Protection order – Can be passed u/s 18 of the Act only – Breach thereof amounts to an offence and is punishable u/s 31 of the Act	408 (ii)	514
	- Expression 'complaint' appearing in S.2 (q) of the Act, meaning of – Law explained	408 (iii)	514
<b>PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION</b>			
	- Exercise of power of State Government under S.3 (2) (c) of the Essential Commodities Act for controlling the price of milk – Duty of the State Government explained – Necessary directions issued	121 (i)	150
<b>RAILWAYS ACT, 1989</b>			
Section 123 (c) & 124-A	- Untoward incident and negligence by passenger – Difference between – Law explained Immediately after deceased boarded train, train moved with jerk due to which deceased fell down – Clearly an untoward incident Merely because entry was made from non-platform side, it is not negligence of deceased – Claim for compensation accepted	409	515

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>REGISTRATION ACT, 1908</b>		
Sections 17(1) (b) (c) & 17 (2) (xii)	- Public auction in pursuance of an order of the Court – Auction purchaser derives title on confirmation of sale – Sale certificate is issued evidencing such sale and title – No further deed is required for passing the title – Certificate granted by Civil or Revenue Officer – Does not fall under the category of 'non testamentary' documents so not required to be registered	418 (ii) 523
Section 47	- Only executed and registered document – It would be presumed that parties intended title to pass forthwith	246 (i) 328
Section 52	- Whether a certificate by Sub-Registrar at the time of registration may be treated as attestation? Held, if an authority signs a document in compliance of statutory duty does not become attesting witness	415 (iii) 520
Sections 60 & 61	- Registration of document, proof of – Endorsement regarding registration – Is due compliance of Ss. 60 & 61 of Registration Act	410 (i) 516
<b>RENT CONTROL AND EVICTION</b>		
	- Bonafide requirement of landlord – Subsequent event – When can be taken into consideration – Law explained	317 414
	- Whether in a suit for eviction all heirs of original deceased tenant are necessary party? Held, No – Ordinarily, suit can be filed against one who could have represented interest of the deceased tenant – Exception to rule explained	181 236
	- Sub-letting – Firm (with four partners) was tenant after dissolution of the firm – The rights of the tenant including tenancy right had been transferred to one of the partners who has constituted a new firm and continued as the owner of the firm – Held, firm is not legal entity – Possession is retained by a partner therefore no subletting	247 329
<b>SCHEDULED CASTES &amp; SCHEDULED TRIBES (PREVENTION OF ATROCITIES) ACT, 1989</b>		
Section 3(1) (x)	- Case under Special Act filed before Sessions Court Which took cognizance without the case being committed Sessions Court did not frame	

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
charge under Special Act and proceeded – On conclusion of trial, accused was convicted u/Ss 148, 302/149 – Whether non-committal of the case vitiates proceedings? Held, No, Conflicting judgments of the Apex Court as to the legality of trial without committal – Both the judgments passed by Bench consisting of equal Strength – Earlier judgment applicable as it is not discussed in the later judgment – Conviction upheld	230	307
Section 3 (1) (xi) - Proof of caste – Mere statement of aggrieved person that he belongs to Scheduled Caste and accused does not belong to Scheduled Caste or Tribe – Held, not sufficient – Prosecution has to prove it by producing other documentary evidence, caste certificate etc. – Further held, merely because statement of aggrieved person not challenged by cross-examination by itself not sufficient to prove the offence	411	517
Section 3 (1) (xi) - Applicability of S. 3 (1) (xi) in cases of outraging of modesty or causing dishonour to the victim belonging to SC/ST – Law explained	107 (ii)	131
Section 3 (ii) (v) - Rape against girl belonging to Scheduled Caste – Unless rape committed on the ground that person belongs to Scheduled Caste, it is not covered by S. 3 (ii) (v)	73 (i)	87

## SERVICE LAW

- Absorption – Principal of private college absorbed on the post of Assistant Professor who was lacking teaching experience as a Professor – Held, while he was holding the post of Principal which is higher than Professor – His absorption as Principal – Not be denied on the aforesaid ground – Undertaking for abiding the condition of absorption cannot be stretched to the extent of denying him legal right accrued under the rule of absorption	174	226
- Assistant Surgeon – Appointed ad hoc basis – Not entitled to pension – Under M.P. Civil Services Pension Rules, 1976	173	225
- Compulsory retirement – Basis of opinion to be formed about compulsory retirement – Held – Entire record of service to be seen – Law explained	86	103

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
- Concealment of material facts from employer - Employee at the time of verification while entering service, not disclosing information regarding his prosecution for an offence – Such information not specifically asked in the verification roll – Whether it amounts to concealment of material facts? Held, No	115	140
- Confidential report, scope of judicial review - Law explained While exercising power of judicial review, Court cannot act as an appellate authority – It is required to be seen that arbitrarily any officer should not be punished because of the malafides of the Reporting Officer or Accepting Officer	412	517
- Deputation, meaning of – Deputing or transferring employee to a post outside his cadre or outside parent department is deputation. Whether a deputationist has legal right to continue on deputation? Held, No	248	331
- Deputation – Person appointed on higher post from one Government Department to another - Question of higher pay – Held – FR 22(D) of the M.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1966 apply to only regular promotion to the post and not applicable to cases of deputation – However, if he is fixed at the higher salary without any misrepresentation or fraud on his part then excess pay cannot be recovered without issuing any show cause notice	413	518
- Disciplinary authority, power of while dealing with departmental appeal against order passed in D.E. – Appellate authority should consider the grounds raised by delinquent and to pass reasoned order – Law explained	1	1
- Disciplinary proceedings – Power of judicial review, extent of – Power of judicial review to interfere with finding of fact of the disciplinary authority – No interference with the finding unless it suffers from procedural impropriety or unreasonable or not supported by any material – Law explained	52	61

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
- Disciplinary proceedings against a Judicial Officer – Power of High Court – Considerations – High Court should take up case to protect honest Judicial Officer against false complaints by unscrupulous lawyers and litigants – Disciplinary actions against subordinate judiciary merely on the basis that orders/judgments are wrong – Not good practice – Such practice adversely affect the morale of subordinate judiciary and ability to exercise their power freely and independently	249	333
- Disciplinary proceedings can be continued inspite of acquittal of delinquent by Criminal Court – Disciplinary authority is required to consider factum with regard to acquittal while imposing punishment	250	334
- Dismissal from service for misconduct – Delinquent employee using abusive language against superior officer – Dismissal of the employee for such misconduct whether proper? Held, Yes	71	86
- Dismissal of employee for misappropriation, dishonesty or gross negligence, justifiability of – Held, dismissal in such situation justified	3	2
- Regularisation of daily wages employees – Regularisation when permissible – Necessary directions to be followed while considering issue of regularisation	135	173
- Resignation – It becomes effective from the date of acceptance by the competent authority – Before acceptance, it can be withdrawn – Law explained	251	335
- Simultaneous criminal proceedings and departmental enquiry based on same facts – Whether departmental enquiry may be stayed? No – It is to be determined whether prejudice is caused by simultaneous proceeding of the departmental proceedings	143	188
- Subordinate Judiciary – Recruitment process – State Judicial Service Rules framed by Government – Applicability vis-à-vis Rules framed by State Public Service Commission – Where Judicial Service Rules contain a specific provision in regard to any aspect of examination, such provision will prevail – Rule		

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
framed by Public Service Commission to that effect, if inconsistent, will be inapplicable	189	247
- Termination of services – Principles of natural justice, applicability of – Law explained	318	415
- Transfer matter – Scope of judicial scrutiny – Transfer order, if issued in malafide exercise of power or is contrary to statutory guidelines or passed by incompetent authority or amounts to victimization and hostile discrimination and not in the interest of public service or administrative exigencies, is susceptible to judicial scrutiny	336	434
- Whether completion of probation period simplicitor will amount to confirmation of the probationer? Held, No – Law explained	113 (i)	137
<b>SOCIAL JUSTICE</b>		
- Social justice, concept of – It is concerned with distribution of benefits and burdens throughout a society – Concept explained	57	66
<b>SPECIFIC RELIEF ACT, 1963</b>		
Section 16 (c) - Earnest money, forfeiture of – Principle regarding forfeiture of earnest money – Law explained	104	128
Section 19 (b) - Protection contemplated for subsequent bonafide purchaser for value without notice of original contract – Necessary conditions to be proved to claim protection – Law explained	118	147
Section 28 - Suit for specific performance of contract decreed – Plaintiff failed to pay the purchase money within stipulated period – Held, power of rescission of contract is discretionary – Defendant may apply on same suit of contract – Further held, no fresh proceeding is needed	319	416
Section 31 - Suit for cancellation of document – Documents whether void or voidable, determination of – Limitation – Article 59 applicable where coercion, undue influence, misappropriation of fraud is alleged – Law explained	85 (ii)	101
Section 38 - Suit for permanent injunction could be filed on the possessory title – Prayer for declaration is not a condition precedent to file such a suit – Plaintiff is not found in possession – Suit is liable to be dismissed – Enquiry of title is not warranted	252	336

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sections 38 & 34 - Invocation of renewal clause lease deed by party having the right to do so – Denial of renewal by other party – Enforcement of contractual obligation – Simplicitor suit for injunction not maintainable unless lease is properly renewed or declaration obtained in respect thereof from a Court of law	267 (iii)	355
<b>STAMP ACT, 1899</b>		
Section 11 (b) - Promissory note, stamping of – Whether pronote with adhesive stamp bearing inscription 'revenue' is valid? Held, Yes	11	12
Article 23 (As amended in M.P.) - Agreement to sell immovable property –Stamp duty on agreement to sell immovable property - Delivery of possession not mentioned in the agreement but possession handed over subsequent to the agreement – Stamp duty is payable, as payable for conveyance under Article 23 – Law explained	60	68
Section 33 & 35 - Insufficiently stamped instruments – Duty of Courts – Law explained	320	417
Sections 36 & 33 - Insufficiently stamped document – If admitted in evidence Section 36 will be attracted and Court is prohibited from reopening the matter – Judicial determination is required when document is tendered in evidence and objection is taken before marking as an exhibit in the case	160	208
Section 47A (As inserted by M.P. Act 30 of 1997) - Stamp duty – Parties entered into an agreement on 28.8.78 – Suit for specific performance decreed on 13.10.95 – In execution of the decree sale deed was presented on 5.1.98 before sub Registrar for registration – Held, stamp duty has to be paid on market value on the date on which instrument was put to registration and not on market value prevailing on date of agreement	253	336
<b>STAMP RULES, 1942</b>		
Rule 17 - Promissory note, stamping of – Whether pronote with adhesive stamp bearing inscription 'revenue' is valid? Held, Yes	11	12



ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>SUCCESSION ACT, 1925</b>		
Sections 57 & 270 - Whether probate necessary to establish a right under a Will by a person residing in M.P. regarding property situated in M.P.? Held, No	63	73
Section 59 - Will – Whether shebaitship can be subject matter of Will? Held, Yes – Further held, it is not a transfer – No bar u/s 6 (d) of the Transfer of Property Act	414	519
Section 63 - Will – Mode and manner to prove execution of Will – Law explained – What circumstances may be suspicious – Stated	159	206
Section 63 - Attesting witness – A person put his signature before the testatrix had put her thumb on the Will and also not aware of any other person attesting the Will, cannot be said to be attesting witness within the meaning of S.63 (c) of Succession Act	415 (i)	520
- Execution of Will – Not a mere formality – Evidence should be of the effect that Will was made over and explained to the testatrix and she had put her thumb impression as admission thereof	415 (ii)	520
Section 63(c) - Proof of will – The propounder should prove not only due execution but also due attestation	100	123
Section 306 - Whether application for compensation regarding personal injury can be filed by the L.Rs of the injured, whose death was not due to accident? Held, No	34	35
Section 372 - Order granting succession certificate in ignorance of Banker's lien – Held, improper as S.171 of the Act of 1872 recognizes Bankers such right	254	337

#### **TENANCY AND LAND LAWS**

- Entries in Jamabandi Khatauni and Right of Records, value of – It does not confer title on person whose name appears in that record – It has only fiscal purpose i.e. payment of land revenue – No ownership is conferred on the basis of records	416	522
--	-----	-----

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
<b>TRADE MARKS ACT, 1999</b>		
Section 29	- Passing off – Requirements – Plaintiff has to establish prior user of trade mark – Registration of similar trade mark in point of time is irrelevant consideration – Modern tort of passing off action – Similarities rather than dissimilarities have to be taken note of in light of 'phonetic similarities' – Law explained	382 483
<b>TRADE UNIONS ACT, 1926</b>		
Section 28-J (3)	- Jurisdiction of Civil Court – Suit barred only where the matters have already been referred to Industrial Court u/s 28 (1-A) of the Act – Dispute not falling u/s 28 (1-A) of the Act may be resolved by the Civil Court	255 339
<b>TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT, 1882</b>		
Section 6 (d)	- Will – Whether shebaitship can be subject matter of Will? Held, Yes – Further held, it is not a transfer – No bar u/s 6 (d) of the Transfer of Property Act	414 519
Sections 41, 43 & 52	- Scope and applicability – S.52 only binds the purchaser during the pendency of suit – Not render the transaction quite as it is neither contrary to law nor hit by S.43 of the Act S.43 operates to perfect respondents' title in suit property on the transfer acquiring the subsequent interest in the sale – It is different from the requirement u/s 41 – The ingredients of S.41 enumerated Defence that S.43 is not applicable may be raised only by the transferor	
	- Doctrine of 'Feeding the estoppel' explained	166 217
Section 52	- Doctrine of lis pendens – Impleadment of party – Property alienated by defendant to transferee during the pendency of suit for specific performance of agreement without leave of Court – Transferee cannot claim impleadment in view of doctrine of lis pendens	263 350
Section 52	- Doctrine of lis pendens – Suit for maintenance by widow – Creating charge on the property – Property alienated during the pendency of the suit – Purchaser will get subject to the	

ACT/ TOPIC		NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
	Doctrine of list pendens – Widow can proceed against the alienated property as it had not been alienated	256	340
Section 52	- Impleadment of party – Partition suit – Bonafide purchasers of suit property, necessary and proper parties	331	431
Section 54	- Sale, validity of – Delivery of possession an essential ingredient of sale – Seller retaining the possession – Held, sale incomplete	9	9
Sections 54 & 58	- Document ostensibly a sale deed – Burden of proof is on the person who alleges it to be a mortgage	246 (ii)	328
Section 58 (c)	- Mortgage with 'conditional sale' and 'sale with condition' of repurchase, distinction between	39	44
Sections 59, 62 & 111 (f)	- A suit for redemption to get possession is maintainable if the tenant/mortgager surrenders the tenancy which depends upon the terms and conditions of the mortgage	417	522
Section 105	- Difference between renewal of lease and extension of lease – In case of extension, fresh deed of lease is not required – However, option of renewal of lease if exercised, a fresh deed of lease shall have to be executed between the parties	267 (ii)	355
Section 106	- Lease, essential ingredients of – Duration of lease – Lease deed permits the lessee to hold the land forever subject to the right of lessor to resume the land by giving one month's unconditional notice – No time period is fixed and no premium or consideration is fixed – Absolute discretion to resume the land at any time without assigning any reason – Cannot be construed as lease in perpetuity – At the best it can be construed as tenancy at will	418 (i)	523
Sections 122 & 123	- Valid gift, ingredients of – Law explained	419 (i)	525
Section 126	- Gift – Revocation or suspension of gift deed – Conditions to be fulfilled – Law explained	88	105

### **WILD LIFE (PROTECTION) ACT, 1972**

- |                                      |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Sections 50(1), (2), (3-A), (4) & 39 | - Vehicle/articles seized under the Act – Power of Magistrate to release – Magistrate cannot exercise power u/s 457 Cr.P.C. – However, Magistrate is empowered u/s 451 Cr.P.C. to |
|--------------------------------------|---|

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
release when property is produced before him – Magistrate is required to consider statutory mandate that the seized property become the property of State – Application cannot be allowed in routine course	420	528
<b>WORDS AND PHRASES</b>		
- Dispute' in the context of Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 must be given its general meaning	187 (ii)	244
- Dowry death – Presumption u/s 113 (B) – Expression 'soon before', meaning of – Expression is pregnant with idea of proximity but not synonymous with the term 'immediately before'	69 (ii)	84
- Expression 'cause of action', meaning of – Law explained Cause of action is a bundle of essential facts necessary for the plaintiff to prove before he can succeed	421	529
- Expressions 'children', 'issue' and 'heirs', meaning of and difference	75	89
- Expressions 'Court', 'Tribunal' or 'Arbitrator', meaning of and difference amongst the three	133	169
- Expression 'Payment into Court' as used in O.IX R. 13, meaning of	68 (ii)	82
- 'Fraud', meaning of – Decree obtained by fraud is nullity and can be challenged in any Court whenever in any proceeding decree is sought to be enforced – It has no effect of res judicata – Court can recall such judgment or order by using its inherent power u/s 151 of the Act and it can be challenged in any Court, at any time, in 'appeal, revision, writ or even in collateral proceedings. It is an exception to Article 141 of the Constitution and doctrine of merger – Law explained	198	260
- Jurisdiction' contemplated u/s 16, of Arbitration and conciliation Act, 1996, meaning of	196 (ii)	254

ACT/ TOPIC	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
- Phrases "rashness", "negligence", "criminal rashness" and "criminal negligence", meaning of – Law explained	186 (ii)	242
- "Special Reasons", meaning of	10	9
- Words 'any' and 'includes', meaning of	192	251
- Words 'material facts' and 'particulars', meaning of – 'Material facts' are primary or basic facts which must be pleaded by the plaintiff/ defendant in support of the case set up by either to prove his cause of action or defence – 'Particulars' on the other hand are details in support of material facts stated by the party	193	251

### PART-III (CIRCULARS/NOTIFICATIONS)

1. Notification dated 2 <sup>nd</sup> May, 2006 regarding designating the officers of Legal Aid for the purpose of Right to Information Act, 2005	1
2. Notification dated 20 <sup>th</sup> November, 2006 regarding constitution of District Legal Services Authority for Districts Neemuch, Harda, Badwani and Sheopur	1
3. Notification dated 19 <sup>th</sup> January, 2006 regarding making of High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Right to Information) Rules, 2006	2
4. Notification dated 31 <sup>st</sup> January, 2002 regarding enforcement of Explosive Substances (Amendment) Act, 2001	4
5. Notification dated 22 <sup>nd</sup> June, 2006 regarding extending time for prohibiting sale of "Gutka"	4
6. Notification dated 22 <sup>nd</sup> December, 2006 of High Court of Madhya Pradesh regarding Madhya Pradesh Case Flow Management in the Trial Courts and First Appellate Subordinate Courts (Civil) Rules, 2006	5
7. मध्यप्रदेश के स्थानीय निवासी की परिभाषा के निर्धारण संबंधी सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग का ज्ञापन दिनांक 30.8.2006	13
8. Notification dated 4 <sup>th</sup> September, 2006 regarding date of enforcement of Wild Life (Protection) Amendment Act, 2006 (39 of 2006)	15
9. High Court's order dated 29 <sup>th</sup> November, 2006 regarding designating State Public Information Officers and Appellate Authority	16
10. Notification dated 15 <sup>th</sup> February, 2007 regarding date of enforcement of Commissions for Protection of Child Rights Act, 2005 (4 of 2006)	17

11. Notification dated 13 <sup>th</sup> February, 2007 regarding Amendments in Madhya Pradesh Civil Courts Rules, 1961	17
12. Notification dated 11 <sup>th</sup> July, 2006 regarding offences affecting the socio-economic conditions of the country for the purpose of sub-section (1) of Section 265-A of Cr.P.C.	18
13. Notification dated 10 <sup>th</sup> October, 2006 regarding amendments in the Schedule of Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (61 of 1986)	19
14. Notification dated 17 <sup>th</sup> October, 2006 regarding date of enforcement of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (43 of 2005)	19
15. व्यवहार प्रक्रिया संहिता की धारा 80 तथा इसी प्रकार के अन्य अधिनियमों/नियमों के प्रावधानों के तहत प्राप्त सूचना पत्रों का परीक्षण करने एवं जवाब देने हेतु नोडल अधिकारियों की नियुक्ति बाबत मध्यप्रदेश शासन सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग का ज्ञापन दिनांक 19.01.2007	20
16. Notification regarding date of enforcement of the Electricity (Amendment) Act, 2007 (No. 26 of 2007)	21
17. Notification regarding amendment in Notification No. F-30-8-02-X-3, dated 16 <sup>th</sup> May, 2005 of the Forest Department	21
18. Notification regarding amendment in the Madhya Pradesh Transit (Forest Produce) Rules, 2000	21
19. लोक अदालत स्कीम, 1997 के अधीन जारी अनुदेशों में संशोधन संबंधी अधिसूचना	22
20. विधिक साक्षरता शिविर स्कीम, 1999 में संशोधन संबंधी अधिसूचना	22
21. Notification dated 28 <sup>th</sup> June, 2007 regarding establishment of Special Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class	23
22. म.प्र. श्रम विधि (संशोधन) और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 2002 के प्रवृत्ति होने की तिथि संबंधी अधिसूचना	24
23. Notification dated 1 <sup>st</sup> January, 2007 regarding designating Court (s) of Sessions as Special Court(s) for trial of offences punishable u/s 4 of the Money Laundering Act	25
24. Notification regarding Amendment in earlier Notification relating to designating Special Courts for disposal of cases under Madhya Pradesh Nikeshpakon Ke Hiton Ka Sanrakshan Adhiniyam, 2000	26
25. Notification regarding remittance of court fees payable in suits by State Government	27
26. न्यायिक अधिकारियों द्वारा लिये जाने वाले वाहन अग्रिम पर ब्याज दर निर्धारण संबंधी ज्ञापन	28

## PART-IV (IMPORTANT CENTRAL/STATE ACTS & AMENDMENTS)

1. The State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper use) Act, 2005	1
2. The Contempt of Courts (Amendment) Act, 2006	4
3. The Wild Life (Protection) Amendment Act, 2006	5
4. The Court-Fees (Madhya Pradesh Amendment) Act, 2006	6
5. The Court-Fees (Madhya Pradesh Amendment) Amendment Ordinance, 2007	12
6. मध्यप्रदेश श्रम विधि (संशोधन) और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 2002	13
7. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Rules, 2006	15
8. The Court-Fees (Madhya Pradesh Amendment) Amendment Act, 2007	25
9. The Madhya Pradesh Industrial Relations (Amendment) Act, 2007	26
10. The Madhya Pradesh Van Upaj (Vyapar Viniyaman) Sanshodhan Adhiniyam, 2006	27
11. The Electricity (Amendment) Act, 2007	29
12. The Environment (Protection) Second Amendment Rules, 2006	35
13. Madhya Pradesh Nyay Seva Sadan Rules, 2006	36
14. Madhya Pradesh Electricity (Manner of Service of Notice, Order and Document) Rules, 2006	39
15. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005	40

## NOMINAL INDEX OF CASES INCLUDED IN PART II

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
A.V. Papayya Sastry and others v. Govt. of A.P. and others	(2007) 4 SCC 221	198	260
Ace Pipeline Contracts (P) Ltd. v. Bharat Petroleum Corpn. Ltd.	(2007) 5 SCC 304	325	422
Additional General Manager, Human Resource, BHEL Ltd. v. Suresh Ramkrishna Burde	2007 (3) MPLJ 1 (SC)	277	368
Afzalkhan @ Babu Murthuzakhan Pathan v. State of Gujarat	AIR 2007 SC 2111	361	456
Agri Gold Exims Ltd. v. Sri Lakshmi Knits & Wovens and others	(2007) 3 SCC 686	187	244
Ajay Goswami v. Union of India and others	(2007) 1 SCC 143	136	175
Ajay Kant and others v. Smt. Alka Sharma	2007 (4) MPHT 62	410	517
Ajay Singh v. State of Maharashtra	AIR 2007 SC 2188	371	463
Ajendraprasadji N. Pande & Anr. v. Swami Keshavprakeshdasji N. & Ors.	AIR 2006 SC 806	201	268
Alchemist Ltd. and another v. State Bank of Sikkim and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 284 (SC)	423	533
Aleque Padamsee and others v. Union of India and others	(2007) 6 SCC 171	346	443
Amar Nath Agarwalla v. Dhillon Transport Agency	(2007) 4 SCC 306	247	329
Ananta Deb Singha Mahapatra and ors. v. State of West Bengal	2007 Cr.LJ 1705 (Cal)	218	290
Andhra Bank v. ABN Amro Bank N.V. and others	(2007) 6 SCC 167	334	433
Anoop Singh v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 328	113	137
Anurag Rastogi and others v. State of U.P. and another	(2007) 4 SCC 771	215	289
Appasaheb & Anr. v. State of Maharashtra	AIR 2007 SC 763	163	213
Appasaheb Peerappa Chandgade v. Devendra Peerappa. Chandgade & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 218	134	171
Arvind Constructions Co. (P) Ltd. v. Kalinga Mining Corporation and others	(2007) 6 SCC 798	323	420



CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
Ashok Bisen and others v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (3) MPHT 267 (DB)	406	513
Ashok Kumar and others v. State of Haryana and another	(2007) 3 SCC 470	185	241
Ashok Kumar Kahar v. MPSEB and another	2007 (2) MPHT 114	143	188
Ashok Maheshwari Rajkamal Prakashan (P) Ltd. v. Dinesh Puranik & Anr.	2007 CrLJ (NOC) 827 (MP)	360	455
Ashok Manikchand Chankeshwara and others v. H.R. Barge and another	2007 (2) MPLJ 410 (SC)	223	296
Ashwani Kumar Tandon v. State of M.P.	2007(1) MPLJ 162	89	106
Asit Bhattacharjee v. Hanuman Prasad Ojha and others	(2007) 5 SCC 786	281	372
Ass Kaur (Smt.) (Deceased) by LRs. v. Kartar Singh (Dead) by LRs. and others	(2007) 5 SCC 561	301	398
Associated Indem Mechanical (P) Ltd. v. W.B. Small Industries Development Corpn. Ltd. and others	(2007) 3 SCC 607	192	251
Atmaram Gangadhar Deshwali & Anr. v. Noormohammad & Ors.	AIR 2007 MP 81	203	271
B. Arvind Kumar v. Govt. of India and others	(2007) 5 SCC 745	420	526
B.S. Goraya v. U.T. Chandigarh	(2007) 6 SCC 397	409	516
Bablu alias Mubarik Hussain v. State of Rajasthan	AIR 2007 SC 697	162	210
Babubhai Udesinh Parmar v. State of Gujarat	2006 AIR SCW 6329	65	77
Babulal Jain v. State of M.P. and others	(2007) 6 SCC 180	415	521
Badam Singh and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (4) MPHT 99 (DB)	358	454
Balakram v. Smt. Durga Bai and others	2007 (I) MPWN 10	62	72
Balbir Singh v. State of Delhi	(2007) 6 SCC 226	353	450
Balram Mehani and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (2) MPLJ 74	176	229
Bank of Rajasthan v. Panama Chemical Works and others	2006 (III) MPWN 85	23	26
Baso Prasad & Ors. v. State of Bihar	AIR 2007 SC 1019	225	301
Begum Sabiha Sultan v. Nawab Mohd. Mansur Ali Khan and others	(2007) 4 SCC 343	202	269
Benga Behera & Anr. v. Braja Kishore Nanda & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1975	417	523
Bhagwan Das v. Kartar Singh and Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 2045	381	482

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Bhagwat Singh and another v. Ram Prasad and another	2007 (1) MPLJ 273	109	133
Bhagwati Bai and another v. Bablu @ Mukund and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 579 (FB)	34	35
Bhagwati Prasad Pawan Kumar v. Union of India	2006 (4) MPLJ 328	8	8
Bherulal v. Jamil	2007 (I) MPJR 422	146	191
Bhurji and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (1) MPLJ 600	105	129
Binapani Paul v. Pratima Ghosh and others	(2007) 6 SCC 100	327	424
Bipin Bihari v. State of M.P.	(2006) 8 SCC 799	51	60
Bishnu Prasad Sinha & Anr. v. State of Assam	AIR 2007 SC 848	226	303
Brajesh Kumar Awasthi and another v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 369	116	142
Brijesh Kumar v. Ramprakash Kulshreshtha	2007 (2) MPLJ 605	220	293
BSNL and others v. Subhash Chandra Kanchan and another	(2006) 8 SCC 279	56	66
C.C. Alavi Haji v. Palapetty Muhammed and another	(2007) 6 SCC 555	405	512
Central Bureau of Investigation v. State of Gujarat	(2007) 6 SCC 156	348	446
Chairman, Indore Vikas Pradhikaran v. M/s Pure Industrial Cock & Chem. Ltd. and others	2007 (4) MPHT 1 (SC)	338	437
Chanda (Dead) Through L.Rs. v. Rattni and Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 1514	319	416
Chanda Devi (Smt.) and others v. Pradeep Kumar and others	2006 (III) MPWN 122	25	27
Chandrappa and others v. State of Karnataka	(2007) 4 SCC 415	219	291
Charanjitsingh v. Surendra Narayan	2007 (4) MPLJ 181	383	485
Chatar Singh v. State of M.P.	2007 (1) ANJ (SC) (NOC) 72 = AIR 2007 SC 319	128	159
Chhagan Lal Jaiswal through LRs Pooran Chand Jaiswal and others v. Kamal Chand Jain through LRs Gulab Bai and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 595	35	39

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Chhedi Lal Mishra (Dead) Through LRs. v. Civil Judge, Lucknow and others	(2007) 4 SCC 632	241	322
Chhotelal & others v. State of M.P.	ILR (2007) MP 808	230	307
Confederation of Ex-servicemen Associations and others v. Union of India and others	(2006) 8 SCC 399	42	48
D. Gopinathan Pillai v. State of Kerala and another	(2007) 2 SCC 322	169	222
D.K. Ganesh Babu v. P.T. Manokaran & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1450	363	457
Dabloo alias Shahjad v. State of M.P.	2007 (1) MPLJ 250	107	131
Damodar Sharma and others v. Nathuram Jatav and another	2007 (2) MPHT 111	142	187
Dashrath Prasad v. State of Madhya Pradesh and others	2007 (1) MPHT 520	122	151
Dayalal & Ors. v. Bhaiyalal & Ors	AIR 2007 MP 72	165	215
Dayawanti v. Smt. Sarula Bai and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 346	9	9
Deo Kumar v. Kailash Chand and others	2007 (4) MPHT 151	368	460
Devendra Sharma v. Sandhya	AIR 2007 MP 103	302	399
Dhanalakshmi & Ors. v. P. Mohan & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1062	331	431
Dhanaram Golhani v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 375	117	145
Dharam & Ors. v. State of Haryana	AIR 2007 SC 397	151	198
Dhulya @ Dhulji and others v. State of M.P.	2007(4) MPLJ 152 (DB)	365	458
Dilip S. Dahanukar v. Kotak Mahindra Co. Ltd. & Anr.	2007 CrLJ 2417 (SC)	285	378
Dinesh and Rajesh v. State of Madhya Pradesh	2007 (1) MPHT 564 (DB)	123	152
Dinesh Kumar Sharma v. Bhagwat Prasad Tiwari	2006 (III) MPJR SN 23	54	63
Director, Mansik Chikitsalaya, Ramdas Ghati, Gwalior v. State of M.P. and others	2007(1) MPLJ 206	91	108
Dr. Arjun Kumar Assudani v. State of Madhya Pradesh and others	Judgment dt. 08.03.2007 passed by the High Court at Main Seat, Jabalpur in W.P. No. 4366 of 2003	173	225
Durga Shankar Gupta and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (2) MPLJ 233	287	380

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Ehsan Ansari v. State of Jharkhand & Anr.	2007 CrLJ(NOC) 766 (Jharkhand)	345	443
Engineers Syndicate v. State of Bihar and others	(2007) 3 SCC 99	178	232
Everest Advertising (P) Ltd. v. State, Govt. of NCT of Delhi and others	(2007) 5 SCC 54	352	449
Fittjee Ltd., New Delhi v. Sandeep Gupta and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 518	32	34
Food Corporation of India v. Chandu Construction and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 268 (SC)	261	349
Francis Stanly alias Stalin v. Intelligence Officer, Narcotic Control Bureau, Thiruvananthapuram	AIR 2007 SC 794	242	323
Gabbar Singh and others v. The Collector, Gwalior and others	2007 (1) MPHT 509	120	149
Gas Authority of India Ltd. and another v. Ketu Construction (I) Ltd. and others	(2007) 5 SCC 38	260	348
Gedela Satchidananda Murthy (Dead) by LRs. v. Dy. Commr., Endowments Deptt., A.P. and others	(2007) 5 SCC 677	298	392
Gendalal and another v. Raghunath (dead) Kamod Singh and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 510	137	180
General Insurance Council & Ors. v. State of Andhra Pradesh & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 2696	395	499
Ghanshyam v. State of M.P. & others	2006 (3) JLJ 336 (SC)	26	27
Ghasiram Garewal through L.Rs. v. Sharifa Bai and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 460	31	33
Girja Prasad (dead) by LRs. v. State of M.P.	(2007) 7 SCC 625	407	514
Golu Rajak and another v. State of M.P.	2006 (III) MPWN 58	18	20
Govindammal v. R. Perumal Chettiar & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 204	74	88
Goyal M.G. Gases Pvt. Ltd. and another v. Kamljeet Singh Bhatia and another	2007 (4) MPLJ 80	351	448
Gulzar v. State of M.P.	(2007) 1 SCC 619	78	92
Gurpreet Singh v. Union of India	(2006) 8 SCC 457	43	49
Hansa Industries (P) Ltd. and others v. Kidarsons Industries (P) Ltd	(2006) 8 SCC 531	44	52
Hardesh Ores (P) Ltd. v. Hede and Company	(2007) 5 SCC 614	267	355

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Hardev Singh v. Gurmail Singh (Dead) by L.Rs.	(2007) 2 SCC 404	166	217
Hariprasad v. Dhannu through L.Rs. Shyamlal and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 424	235	315
Harkrishna Pathak and others v. State of M.P.	2006 (4) MPLJ 268	5	4
Hasham Abbas Sayyad v. Usman Abbas Sayyad and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 294 (SC)	271	360
Heinz Italia and another v. Dabur India Ltd.	(2007) 6 SCC 1	384	486
ICICI Bank Ltd. v. Prakash Kaur and others	(2007) 2 SCC 711	172	225
Imratlal v. Vishnu Prasad and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 540	102	125
In the matter of Reference of Contempt against Jagmohan Parashar	2007 (3) MPLJ 238 (DB)	278	369
India Household and Healthcare Ltd. v. LG Household and Healthcare Ltd.	(2007) 5 SCC 510	259	346
Indore Rolling Mills and another v. State of M.P.	2007 (2) MPLJ 64	228	304
Industrial Investment Bank of India Ltd. v. Marshal's Power & Telecom (I) Ltd. and another	(2007) 1 SCC 106	97	117
Iqbal Bano v. State of U.P. and another	(2007) 6 SCC 785	344	442
J. Kalaivani and others v. K. Sivashankar and another	(2007) 7 SCC 792	393	496
J. Yashoda v. K. Shobha Rani	(2007) 5 SCC 730	296	390
Jabalpur Steels Pvt. Ltd. v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 388	119	148
Jagdish and others v. Naresh Soni and others	2007 (3) MPHT 234 (DB)	290	383
Jagdish Chander v. Ramesh Chander and others	(2007) 5 SCC 719	258	343
Jagdish v. Ashok Kumar Gureja	2007 (4) MPHT 93 (DB)	356	453
Jagmodhan Mehatabsing Gujaral and others v. State of Maharashtra	(2006) 8 SCC 629	47	57
Jagraj Singh v. Birpal Kaur	(2007) 2 SCC 564	167	219
Janki Bai v. Prem Narayan Kushwaha	2006 (III) MPJR SN 31	55	64
Japani Sahoo v. Chandra Sekhar Mohanty	(2007) 7 SCC 394	366	459
Jasbir Singh v. State of Punjab	(2006) 8 SCC 294	37	42

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
Jayendra Rao (Sardar) v. Om Prakash	2007 (I) MPWN 8	61	70
Jethanand and Company v. M/s Mohan and Company	2007 (3) MPLJ 584	373	467
Kailash Prasad Yadav and another v. State of Jharkhand and another	(2007) 5 SCC 769	291	386
Kailash v. State of M.P.	AIR 2007 SC 107	69	84
Kalyani Baskar (Mrs.) v. M.S. Sampooranam (Mrs.)	(2007) 2 SCC 258	168	220
Kamal Kumar Jain v. Babilata Jain	2007 (1) MPLJ 532	101	124
Kamla S. v. Vidhyadharan M.J. and another	(2007) 5 SCC 264	404	511
Kamlesh Kumar v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 535	248	331
Kandapasha Nadar & Ors. v. Chitraganiammal & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1575	275	367
Kanhaiyalal Vishwambherdayal Agrawal v. Muktilal Rameshwardas Naredi	AIR 2007 MP 1 (DB)	76	90
Kanta Devi and others v. Shiv Parvati Mandir and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 221	257	341
Kanti Devi Sikarwar and others v. Om Prakash and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 291	6	6
Karibasappa and Ors. v. State of Karnataka	AIR 2007 SC 432	152	199
Karuppana Gounder v. Rasammal	AIR 2007 Madras 101	256	340
Kashi Bai through LRs. Pinkal Gupta v. Sundarlal Vaidh and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 359	114	139
Kaushlya Devi v. Karan Arora & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1912	397	503
Kesharimal R. Mahajan v. State of Madhya Pradesh	2007 CrLJ (NOC) 696 (MP)	403	510
Keshav Prasad and another v. Smt. Bhuwani Bai and another	2006 (4) MPHT 338 = 2007 (1) MPLJ 499	12	13
Khursheed Ahmad v. Mehrunnisha and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 74	314	410
Kiran Kumar v. State of M.P.	2006 (III) MPWN 75	22	25
Kishore Lal v. Chairman, Employees' State Insurance Corpn.	(2007) 4 SCC 579	211	283
Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Kukshi, Distt. Dhar v. Rangnath (Deceased) through LRs & Anr.	2006 (III) MPJR 194	53	62
Krishna & Anr. v. State of U.P.	AIR 2007 SC 2452	379	478

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Krishna Bhagya Jala Nigam Ltd. v. G. Harishchandra Reddy & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 817	195	254
Krishnamurthy S. Setlur (dead) by LRs. v. O.V. Narasimha Setty and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 15 (SC)	387	491
Krishnapal Singh v. Gulzar Singh and others	2006 (III) MPWN 47	20	22
L.K. Verma v. H.M.T. Ltd. & Anr.	2006 AIR SCW 460	71	86
Lakhan Singh and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (3) MPLJ 194	292	387
Lal Devi & Anr. v. Vaneeta Jain & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1889	336	435
Lal Saheb Singh v. M.P. Electricity Board, Rampur and another	2006 (4) MPLJ 243	3	2
Lalu Prasad alias Lalu Prasad Yadav v. State of Bihar through CBI (AHD) Patna	(2007) 1 SCC 49	94	113
Land Acquisition Officer, A.P. v. Kamadana Ram Krishna Rao and another	(2007) 3 SCC 526	190	248
Laxman Thamappa Kotgiri v. G.M. Central Railway and others	(2007) 4 SCC 596	212	284
Laxmandas and another v. Raju Thakur and others	2007 (I) MPWN 4	58	67
M. Durai v. Muthu and others	(2007) 3 SCC 114	179	234
M. Gurudas and others v. Rasaranjan and others	(2006) 8 SCC 367	41	46
M. Nagraj and others v. Union of India and others	(2006) 8 SCC 212	57	66
M. Venkataramana Hebbar (dead) by LRs. v. M. Rajagopal Hebbar and others	(2007) 6 SCC 401	376	472
M.C. Mehta (Taj Corridor Scam) v. Union of India and others	(2007) 1 SCC 110	98	118
M.P. State Co-operative Dairy Federation and others v. Madan Lal Chourasia	2007 (2) MPHT 485 (FB)	210	278
M.R. Kudva v. State of Andhra Pradesh	AIR 2007 SC 568	158	206
M.V. Karunakaran v. Krishan	AIR 2007 SC 1501	274	367
M/s Aditya Hotels (P) Ltd. v. Bombay Swadeshi Stores & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1574	269	358
M/s B.S.N. Joshi & Sons Ltd. v. Nair Coal Services Ltd. & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 437	153	200
M/s Bajaj Hindustan Sugar & Industries Ltd. v. Balrampur Chini Mills Ltd. & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1906	330	430

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
M/s Bay Berry Apartments Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. v. Shobha & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 226	75	89
M/s Kamakshi Builders v. M/s Ambedkar Educational Society & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 2191	375	471
M/s R.N. Jadi & Brothers and others v. Subhashchandra	AIR 2007 SC 2571	335	434
M/s Technogem Consultants Pvt. Ltd. v. The General Manager, Madhya Pradesh Rural Development Authority	Judgment dated 16.01.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Indore Bench) in M.C.C. No. 850 of 2005	130	162
M/s. Khemchand Motilal Jain v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (2) MPHT 433	236	316
Madan Lal Kapoor v. Rajiv Thapar and others	(2007) 7 SCC 623	359	455
Madhumilan Syntex Ltd. & Ors. v. Union of India & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 1481	288	381
Mahalaxmi Traders and others v. Sudarshan Industries	2007 (2) MPLJ 174	205	274
Mahatma Gandhi Sahakra Sakkare Karkhane v. National Heavy Engg. Coop. Ltd. and another	(2007) 6 SCC 470	326	423
Mahesh Kumar Shrivastava v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 525	388	492
Makarchand v. Smt. Leelabai and another	Judgment dt. 03.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Jabalpur Main Seat) in Criminal Revision No.4 of 2002= 2007 (3) MPHT 520 (DB)	125	153
Makhan Singh (D) by LRs. v. Kulwant Singh	AIR 2007 SC 1808	300	398
Mama Roadways Transport v. M/s Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. and another	2007 (4) MPLJ 33 (DB)	328	426
Mangal Prasad v. Johar and others	Judgment dated 09.03.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Jabalpur Main Seat) in Criminal Revision No. 955 of 1999	124	153



CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
Mangilal v. Central Narcotics Bureau, Neemuch	2006 (III) MPWN 90	24	26
Mani Madavalappil v. C.I. of Police, Nelwashawar	2007 CrLJ 1755 (Ker)	221	294
Maniben v. State of Gujarat	AIR 2007 SC 1932	367	460
Manisha Lalwani v. Dr. D.V. Paul	2007 (2) MPLJ 52	175	227
Manju Raghuvanshi v. Dilip Singh Raghuvanshi	2006 (4) MPLJ 302	7	6
Mano v. State of Tamil Nadu	2007 CrLJ 2736 (SC)	293	388
Manohar Sawai Rathod v. State of Maharashtra	2007 CrLJ (NOC) 785 (Bombay)	413	520
Manohar v. State of Madhya Pradesh	ILR (2007) MP 837	222	295
Manzar Sayeed Khan v. State of Maharashtra and another	(2007) 5 SCC 1	303	400
Markfed Vanaspati & Allied Industries v. Union of India	(2007) 7 SCC 679	322	419
Mayank v. Public in General and others	2007 (I) MPWN 14	63	73
Minor Sunil Oraon Tr. Guardian & Ors. v. C.B.S.E. & Ors	AIR 2007 SC 458	154	201
Mithlesh and others v. Brijendra Singh Baghel and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 315	112	136
Mithu Lal Soni v. Ram Lal Soni and another	2007 (2) MPLJ 254	273	365
Mohammad Nisar v. Rajesh Kumar and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 494	377	473
Mohd. Mansur Ali Khan v. Saifa Education Society, Bhopal and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 428	29	30
Mohd. Nazeer v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (I) MPWN 7	60	68
Mohd. Shafi v. Mohd. Rafiq & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 1899	354	451
Mohit Bhargava v. Bharat Bhushan Bhargava and others	(2007) 4 SCC 795	197	258
Munna @ Ramnarayan and another v. State of M.P.	2006 (4) MPLJ 248	4	3
Munna Lal and others v. Chironjilal and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 104	207	275

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Munnalal Halwai and another v. Lallan Tiwari and others	2007 (3) MPHT 221 (DB)	400	507
Murlidhar Pinjani & anr. v. Smt. Sheela Tandon & anr.	ILR (2007) MP 785	227	304
Mustafikhan v. State of Maharashtra	(2007) 1 SCC 623	79	93
N. Devindrappa v. State of Karnataka	(2007) 5 SCC 228	305	402
N.K. Sabarwal v. Rauf Khan	2006 (4) MPLJ 545	33	35
N.P. Jharia v. State of M.P.	ILR 2007 MP 1119 = 2007 CrLJ 3745 (SC)	349	447
Nagrik Upbhogkta Margadarshka Manch v. Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department, Govt. of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPHT 512	121	150
Namita Rathore v. Mukesh Rathore	2007 (3) MPLJ 345	270	359
Nanhibai v. Govindrao	2007 (1) MPLJ 115	88	105
Narayan Lillahare v. Dinesh and others	2007 (2) MPHT 32 (DB)	141	186
Naresh Kumar Madan v. State of M.P	(2007) 4 SCC 766	245	326
National Agricultural Co-op. Marketing Federation India Ltd. v. Gains Trading Ltd.	AIR 2007 SC 2327	324	421
National Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Anjana Shyam and others	2007 ACJ 2129	394	496
National Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Laxmi Narain Dhut	(2007) 3 SCC 700	234 & 237	312 & 317
National Insurance Co. Ltd., Gwalior and etc. v. Shrikant Vinod Tiwari and Ors.	AIR 2007 MP 98 (5 Judge Special Bench)	391	494
National Thermal Power Corpn. Ltd. v. Siemens Atkeingesellschaft	(2007) 4 SCC 451	196	254
Naveen Chandra v. State of Uttaranchal	AIR 2007 SC 363	149	193
Navjot Singh Sidhu v. State of Punjab and another	(2007) 2 SCC 574	170	222
New India Assurance Co. Ltd. v. Satendra & Ors	AIR 2007 SC 324	147	191
New India Assurance Co. Ltd.v. Kalpana (Smt.) & others	(2007) 3 SCC 538	239	319

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Nikhil Kumar Godha and another v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (4) MPLJ 77 (DB)	389	492
Niranjan Umeshchandra Joshi v. Mrudula Jyoti Rao & Ors	AIR 2007 SC 614	159	206
Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. v. M/s Nippon Steel Corporation Ltd	AIR 2007 SC 327	148	192
Om Prakash Malviya v. Shambhu Nath Singh and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 562	255	339
Omkar Prasad Verma v. State of M.P.	(2007) 4 SCC 323	233	310
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Smt. Jhuma Saha & Ors	AIR 2007 SC 1054	238	318
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Brij Mohan & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1971	398	504
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Meena Variyal and others	(2007) 5 SCC 428	312	408
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Premalata Shukla and others	2007 (3) MPHT 225 (SC)	399	505
Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Vaikunthi Bai and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 432	30	32
P. Bhargava v. Union of India and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 150	414	520
P. Chandrasekharan and others v. S. Kanakarajan and others	(2007) 5 SCC 669	266	353
P.D. Agrawal v. State Bank of India and others	(2006) 8 SCC 776	50	59
P.K. Sreekantan & Ors. v. P. Sreekumaran Nair & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 516	156	204
P.N. Gopinathan v. Sivadasan Kunju & Anr.	2007 CrLJ 2776 (Ker)	315	412
P.T. Munichikkanna Reddy and Ors. v. Revamma and Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1753	308	404
P.V. George and others v. State of Kerala and others	(2007) 3 SCC 557	191	250
Pandit Hardutt Mishra v. Mithailal and another	2007(1) MPLJ 177	90	108
Paramjeet Singh Patheja v. ICDS Ltd.	AIR 2007 SC 168	133	169
Paramvir Singh v. State of Punjab	2007 CrLJ 2028 (P & H)	216	289
Parkash Singh Badal and another v. State of Punjab and others	(2007) 1 SCC 1	92 & 93	109 & 111

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Parmanand Soni and others v. Radhakrishna Dharmartha Pvt. Trust	2007 (1) MPLJ 589	104	128
Pavan Sharma and another v. Kamalabai and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 482	347	444
Poonamchand v. Murti Madanmohanji and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 340	329	427
Praveen Kumar v. Kesar Bai and another	2007 (1) MPLJ 490	99	121
Prem Lala Nahata & Anr. v. Chandi Prasad Sikaria	AIR 2007 SC 1247	268	358
Prem Singh and others v. Birbal and others	2007(1) MPLJ 1 (SC)	85	101
Premraj v. Suresh Chandra Jain	2006 (4) MPLJ 356	11	12
President, Board of Secondary Education, Orissa and another v. D. Suvankar and another	(2007) 1 SCC 603	77	91
Pritam Prasad v. Lakhan Singh and others	2007 (3) MPHT 546	357	453
Punjab State and others v. Dina Nath	2007 (3) MPLJ 430 (SC)	321	419
R.P.Shrivastava v. Smt. Sheela Devi and others	2007 (4) MPLJ 102	369	462
Raghu Lakshminarayanan v. M/s Fine Tubes	2007 CrLJ 2436 (SC)	316	413
Raghubir Shigh v. Union of India and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 479	250	334
Rajendra Prasad Gupta v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another	Judgment dt. 01.02.2007 passed by the High Court at Main Seat, Jabalpur in W.P. No. 6225 of 2003	174	226
Rajendra Singh v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 564	103	127
Rajesh Ranjan Yadav alias Pappu Yadav v. CBI Through its Director	(2007) 1 SCC 70	95	115
Rakesh and others v. State of M.P. and others	2007(1) MPLJ 133	135	173
Ram Kishan Yadav and another v. State of M.P.	2007 (4) MPLJ 144	355	452
Ram Kumar Barnwal v. Ram Lakhan (Dead)	(2007) 5 SCC 660	317	414
Ram Prasad Mandal v. Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Shahdol and others	2006 (4) MPLJ 216	1	1

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
Ram Prasad through LRs. v. Harishankar and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 238	309	405
Rama Paswan & Ors. v. State of Jharkhand	2007 CrLJ 2750 (SC)	283	374
Ramavatar and another v. State of M.P.	2007 (2) MPLJ 280	284	376
Ramdas & Ors. v. State of Maharashtra	AIR 2007 SC 155, 2007 (1) ANJ (SC) 217	73	87
Ramdev Food Products (P) Ltd. v. Arvindbhai Rambhai Patel and others	(2006) 8 SCC 726	49	58
Ramesh Chander Singh v. High Court of Allahabad and another	(2007) 4 SCC 247	249	333
Ramesh Kuraria v. Union of India	AIR 2007 MP106 (DB)	411	518
Ramji Bhai Patel v. Durga Bai and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 361	272	363
Ramji Rai & Anr. v. Jagdish Mallah (Dead) through L.Rs & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 900	252	336
Ramrati Sharma and another v. Smt. Sheela Sharma and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 589	412	519
Ratan Kumar Savnani v. Lakhanlal Agrawal and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 378	118	147
Ratan Singh and others v. Brindawan and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 346	299	395
Rathnashalvan v. State of Karnataka	(2007) 3 SCC 474	186	242
Ravi @ Ravichandran v. State Rep. by Inspector of Police	2007 CrLJ 2740 (SC)	289	382
Ravi Khuller and another v. Union of India and others	(2007) 5 SCC 231	307	403
Ravikant S. Patil v. Sarvabhouma S. Bagali	(2007) 1 SCC 673	82	97
Ravindran alias John v. Superintendent of Customs	(2007) 6 SCC 410	402	509
Rohit Singh and others v. State of Bihar (now State of Jharkhand)	AIR 2007 SC 10	66	78
Rosali V. v. Taico Bank & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 998	206	274
S. Nazeer Ahmed v. State Bank of Mysore & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 989	200	265
S. Rathinam @ Kuppamuthu & Ors. v. L.S. Mariappan & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 2134	416	522

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
S.R. Batra and another v. Taruna Batra (Smt)	(2007) 3 SCC 169	184	239
Sadhana Singh v. Bhagwan Das Arakh	2007 (3) MPLJ 48	378	474
Salim Sahab v. State of M.P.	(2007) 1 SCC 699	83	99.
Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh	(2007) 4 SCC 511	229	305
Sandeep Polymers (P) Ltd. v. Bajaj Auto Ltd. and others	(2007) 7 SCC 148	332	431
Sanjay Singh and another v. U.P. Public Service Commission, Allahabad and another	(2007) 3 SCC 720	189	247
Sanjay v. State of Maharashtra	AIR 2007 SC 1368	304	401
Sanjay Verma v. Manik Roy & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1332	263	350
Sanjeev Nanda v. State of NCT of Delhi	2007 CrLJ 3786 (Delhi)	342	439
Sankar Dastidar v. Smt. Banjula Dastidar & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 514	155	203
Saroj Basotia v. State of M.P. and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 363 (DB)	385	487
Saroj Kumar Poddar v. State (NCT of Delhi) and another	(2007) 3 SCC 693	188	245
Satish Jaggi v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.	2007 CrLJ 2766 (SC) = (2007) 3 SCC 62	177 & 362	230 & 456
Satyanand and another v. Prakash Chand Jain and another	2007 (1) MPLJ 291	111	135
Satyanarayan v. Ramsingh deceased through LRs. Prithwisingh and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 384	320	417
Secretary, A.P. Social Welfare Residential Educational Institutions v. Pindiga Sridhar & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1527	318	415
Secy. Technical Education, U.P. and others v. Lalit Mohan Upadhyay and others	(2007) 4 SCC 492	251	335
Shabnam v. Jamil Khan	2007 (2) MPLJ 111	213	285
Shadab Grih Nirman Sahakari Sanstha Maryadit, Bhopal v. Parita Grih Nirman Sahakari Samity Maryadit, Bhopal and another	2007 (2) MPLJ 524	204	271
Shailendra Koshti v. Smt. Kavita Koshti	2006 (4) MPHT 391	14	15
Shajahan and others v. State of Kerala and another	2007 (2) MPHT 1 (SC)	138 & 139	183 & 184

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Shakuntala v. State of Haryana	2007 CrLJ 3747 (SC)	372	465
Shakuntala Vasant Pahadi and others v. Purushottam Vasant Pethe and others	(2007) 3 SCC 123	181	236
Shamim Afroz @ Ajra and others v. Mehfooz-ul-Hassan Through L.Rs. Aneesa and others	2007(1) MPLJ 103	87	104
Shantibai and others v. Phoolibai and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 121	246	328
Sharif Khan v. State of M.P.	2006 (4) MPLJ 236	2	1
Shashikant v. Central Bureau of Investigation and others	(2007) 1 SCC 630	81	95
Sheela Devi and others v. Lal Chand and another	(2006) 8 SCC 581	46	56
Sheo Prasad Bhor alias Sri Prasad v. State of Assam	(2007) 3 SCC 120	180	236
Shiv Autar and another v. Hariom and others	2007 (2) MPHT 165	144	188
Shiv Gopal Sah alias Shiv Gopal Sahu v. Sita Ram Saraugi & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1478	264	351
Shiv Kant Yadav v. Indian Oil Corpn. and others	(2007) 4 SCC 410	194	253
Shivmangal through LRs. v. Narainprasad and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 445	208	276
Shubhra Saxena and others v. Ashok Kumar and another	2006 (4) MPLJ 603	36	41
Shyam Kant Nigam and another v. Nawab Ahmad Yar Jahangeer Khan	2007 (1) MPLJ 279	110	134
Shyamal Kumar Roy v. Sushil Kumar Agarwal	AIR 2007 SC 637	160	208
Shyamrao v. Champalal	2007 (2) MPHT 14	140	185
Sidharth v. Smt. Kanta Bai	AIR 2007 MP 59	164	214
Smt. Harvinder Kaur & Ors. v. State of M.P. & Ors	AIR 2007-MP 86	253	336
Smt. Hira Bai & Ors. v. Pratap Singh & Anr.	AIR 2007 MP 134 (DB)	311	407
Smt. Manjula v. Pradeep and others	2007 (4) MPHT 109	340	438
Smt. Manjuri Bera v. Oriental Insurance Co. Ltd.	AIR 2007 SC 1474	310	406
Smt. Rajantabai S. Parihar v. State of Madhya Pradesh	2007 CrLJ 2495 (MP)	295	389

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
Smt. Saraswati @ Jaya Bichpuria v. Smt. Archana Bichpuria	2007 (4) MPHT 131	341	438
Smt. Savita Devi v. Smt Sukhvinder Kaur & Ors.	2006 (III) MPJR 301(FB)	27	28
Smt. Usha Baghel and others v. United India Insurance Company Limited and another	2007 (4) MPHT 180 (FB)	390	493
Soma Chakravarty v. State through CBI	(2007) 5 SCC 403	282	374
Somesh Tiwari v. Union of India and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 162	337	435
Sonu v. Jagdish Prasad & Ors.	AIR 2007 MP 110 (DB)	313	410
Southern Petrochemical Industries Co. Ltd. v. Electricity Inspector & Etio and others	(2007) 5 SCC 447	374	468
State Bank of Hyderabad v. Town Municipal Council	(2007) 1 SCC 765	84	100
State Bank of India v. Mukesh Rawat	2007 (2) MPLJ 199	254	337
State Bank of India v. Ranjan Chemicals Ltd. and another	(2007) 1 SCC 97	96	116
State Bank of India, Nagda Branch, Nagda v. Smt. Suraj Bai	Judgment dt. 28.03.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Indore Bench) in S.A. No. 1282 of 2005	129	160
State of A.P. & Ors. v. M/s Pioneer Builders, A.P.	AIR 2007 SC 113	70	85
State of A.P. v. V. Sarma Rao & Ors. etc. etc.	AIR 2007 SC 137	132	167
State of Goa v. Sanjay Thakran & another	(2007) 3 SCC 755	224	297
State of Karnataka v. Madesha and others	(2007) 7 SCC 35	380	482
State of Kerala & Anr. v. M.A. Mathai	AIR 2007 SC 1537	279	371
State of Kerala v. Kurissum Mootil Antony	(2007) 1 SCC 627	80	94
State of M.P. & Ors. v. Lalit Kumar Verma	AIR 2007 SC 528	157	205
State of M.P. & Ors. v. Smt. Parvati Bai	2006 (III) MPJR 312	28	30
State of M.P. and others v. M.S. Wakankar and another	2007(1) MPLJ 99	86	103
State of M.P. and others v. Narendra Kumar Uppal	2007 (4) MPLJ 185 (DB)	339	437
State of M.P. and others v. Rajiv Gupta and another	2006 (4) MPHT 377	13	14



CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE	PAGE
		NO.	NO.
State of M.P. v. Kalyan Singh	2006 (4) MPLJ 350	10	9
State of M.P. v. Mujjaffar Hussain @ Munna Painter and others	Judgment dt. 05.04.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Jabalpur Main Seat) in Criminal Appeal No. 130 of 2001 and Criminal Appeal No. 3427 of 1999	126	156
State of M.P. v. Shambhu Dayal Nagar	(2006) 8 SCC 693	48	57
State of M.P. v. Sumitrabai & Ors	2006 (2) ANJ (MP) 404	17	19
State of Madhya Pradesh v. Kedar Yadav	ILR (2007) MP 725	231 & 243	308 & 324
State of Maharashtra v. Siraj Ahmed Nisar Ahmed and others	(2007) 5 SCC 161	294	388
State of NCT of Delhi v. Ravi Kant Sharma & Ors	2007 CrLJ 1674 (SC)	214	287
State of Punjab & Anr. v. Balkaran Singh	AIR 2007 SC 641	161	209
State of Punjab and others v. Ganpat Raj	(2006) 8 SCC 364	40	44
State of Rajasthan v. Kashi Ram	AIR 2007 SC 144	72	87
State of Rajasthan v. Netrapal and others	(2007) 4 SCC 45	244	325
State of Tamil Nadu v. Ravi @ Nehru	2006 (2) ANJ (SC) (NOC) 132	16	18
State of U.P. and another v. Laloo Singh	(2007) 7 SCC 334	422	531
State of West Bengal v. Dinesh Dalmia	2007 CrLJ 2757 (SC)	280	372
State v. K. Narasimhachary	AIR 2006 SC 628	21	24
Sub-Divisional Officer (P), UHBVNL v. Dharam Pal	AIR 2007 SC 1214	370	462
Subodhkumar & Ors. v. Bhagwant Namdeorao Mehetre & Ors.	AIR 2007 SC 1324	297	392
Sudarshan Prasad Patel v. State of M.P. and another	2007 (I) MPWN 5	59	68
Sukhram v. State of Maharashtra	(2007) 7 SCC 502	364	457
Suman Sood alias Kamal Jeet Kaur v. State of Rajasthan	(2007) 5 SCC 634	382	482

CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
Sunil Shrivastava v. State of Madhya Pradesh	Judgment dt. 30.03.2007 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh (Jabalpur Main Seat) in Misc. Cr. Case No. 10333 of 2006	131	166
Suraj Bhan and others v. Financial Commissioner and others	(2007) 6 SCC 186	418	525
Suryabhan Singh v. State of M.P.	2006 (III) MPWN 42	19	21
Sushila and another v. Rajbeer Singh and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 361	276	368
Swaroop Chand v. Gumana Bai	2007 (1) MPLJ 246	106	130
Syed Ibrahim v. State of Andhra Pradesh	2006 (2) ANJ (SC) 372	15	17
Tarachand v. Sagarbai alias Chaiyalibai	(2007) 5 SCC 392	419	525
Tarkeshwar Sahu v. State of Bihar (Now Jharkhand)	(2006) 8 SCC 560	45	54
Tea Auction Ltd. v. Grace Hill Tea Industry & Anr.	AIR 2007 SC 67	68	82
The National Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Hukumchand and two others	2007 (2) MPHT 481 (DB)	240	322
The State v. A. Parthiban	AIR 2007 SC 51	67	80
Transport Corpn. of India Ltd. v. Veljan Hydrail Ltd.	(2007) 3 SCC 142	182 & 183	237 & 238
Tulsi and others v. Chandrika Prasad and others	(2006) 8 SCC 322	39	44
U.O.I. & ors. v. K.K. Kamtaria	2006 (III) MPJR 186	52	61
Uma Shankar Kamal Narain and another v. M.D. Overseas Ltd.	(2007) 4 SCC 133	209	277
Ummed Singh v. State of M.P.	2007 (3) MPLJ 214	306	402
Union of India and another v. M.P. Gupta	(2004) 10 SCC 504	64	75
Union of India and others v. Hariom	2007 (1) MPLJ 362	115	140
Union of India v. Shiv Shanker Kesari	(2007) 7 SCC 798	401	508
United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. M/s Kiran Combers and Spinners	AIR 2007 SC 393	150	196



CITATION	REPORTED IN	NOTE NO.	PAGE NO.
United India Insurance Co. v. Sandeep Kumar Gupta	2007 (3) MPLJ 559	396	501
University of Kerala (1) v. Council, Principals' Colleges, Kerala and others	(2006) 8 SCC 304	38	43
Usha Balashaheb Swami and others v. Kiran Appaso Swami and others	(2007) 5 SCC 602	265	352
V.K. Puri v. Central Bureau of Investigation	(2007) 6 SCC 91	408	516
Van Vibhag Karmachari Griha Nirman Sahakari Sanstha v. Rameshchandra and others	2007 (4) MPHT 105 (DB)	386	490
Vedic Girls Senior Secondary School, Arya Samaj Mandir, Jhajjar v. Rajwanti (Smt.) and others	(2007) 5 SCC 97	262	349
Veersingh and others v. State of M.P.	2007 (3) MPLJ 580	350	447
Vijay D. Salvi v. State of Maharashtra and others	(2007) 5 SCC 741	286	379
Virender Nath Gautam v. Satpal Singh and others	(2007) 3 SCC 617	193	251
Vishwanath Gupta & 4 Ors. v. Virendra Nath Agrawal & Ors.	2007 (I) MPJR 412	145	189
Vishwanath Gupta v. State of Uttaranchal	2007 CrLJ 2296 (SC)	232	309
Vrindavan and others v. Jai Pratap and others	2007 (3) MPLJ 177	421	528
Y. Saraba Reddy v. Puthur Rami Reddy and another	(2007) 4 SCC 773	217	290
Yallwwa (Smt.) and others v. National Insurance Co. Ltd. and another	2007 (3) MPLJ 260 (SC)	392	495
Yasin Ali (dead) through LRs. Akbar Ali and others v. Gafoor Mohammad and others	2007 (1) MPLJ 266	108	133
Yogesh Mehta v. Custodian Appointed under the Special Court and Others	(2007) 2 SCC 624	171	224
Zamku and others v. Masari and others	2007 (2) MPLJ 580	199	264